

Annual Report (v2) (1/1/2020 to 12/31/2020)
For: American Clinical Board of Nutrition #72

Detail View

Organization

[Print \(JavaScript:window.print\(\)\);](#)**Unique Identifier**

72

Status

Active

Contact Name

Jesse Manning

Group Type**Membership**

NCCA Accredited Organizational Member

Industry

Healthcare: Diet and Nutrition

Annual Report (v2) (1/1/2020 to 12/31/2020)

Learning Plan Name

Annual Report (v2)

Cycle End Date

12/31/2020

Cycle Start Date

1/1/2020

Completion Date

7/22/2021

Submission Date

6/7/2021

Comments to Applicant

1. Bylaws change

Description

1. Did your organization make any changes to the Bylaws?

Answer 1

No

Explanation**Document B****Additional documents**

Comments to Applicant

2. Governance or organizational structure change

Description

2. Did your organization make any changes to its governance or organizational structure, including any changes to the relationship between the organization and any parent organization?

Answer 1

No

Explanation**Document B****Additional documents****Comments to Applicant**

3. New or Discontinued Certifications

Description

3. Has your organization discontinued any certifications (accredited or otherwise) or began offering any new certifications)?

Answer 1

No

Explanation**Document B****Additional documents****Comments to Applicant**

4. Changes in Scope or Role

Description

4. Did your organization make any changes to the eligibility criteria, scope, or job role/specialty certified for any of its accredited certification programs?

Answer 1

No

Explanation**Document B****Additional documents****Comments to Applicant**

5. Changes in Examination Development Procedures

Description

5. Did your organization make any changes to the examination development procedures (e.g. standard setting, item development, exam assembly) for any of your accredited certification programs?

Answer 1

No

Explanation**Document B****Additional documents****Comments to Applicant**

6. Changes in Examination Administration Procedures

Description

6. Did your organization make any changes to the examination administration procedures (e.g. testing modality) for any of your accredited certification programs?

Answer 1

No

Explanation**Document B****Additional documents****Comments to Applicant**

7. Recertification Mechanism Changes

Description

7. Did your organization make any changes to the recertification mechanisms or requirements for any of your accredited certification programs?

Answer 1

No

Explanation**Document B****Additional documents****Comments to Applicant**

8. Changes to Disciplinary Policy

Description

8. Did your organization make any changes to your disciplinary policy and procedures?

Answer 1

No

Explanation

Document B**Additional documents****Comments to Applicant**

9. Changes to Appeals or Accommodations Policies

Description

9. Did your organization make any changes to your policies and procedures relating to appeals or requests for ADA accommodations?

Answer 1

No

Explanation**Document B****Additional documents****Comments to Applicant**

10. Changes to Confidentiality or Security Policies

Description

10. Did your organization make any updates to your confidentiality or security procedures?

Answer 1

No

Explanation**Document B****Additional documents****Comments to Applicant**

11. Adverse Legal Judgment

Description

11. Was there a legal judgment adverse to your organization relating to one or more of its certification programs (accredited or otherwise)?

Answer 1

No

Explanation**Document B****Additional documents****Comments to Applicant**

12. Appeal of Certification Decisions

Description

12. Have candidates for certification appealed exam scores or decision outcomes for any of your accredited certification programs? If so, how many for each program and what was the outcome?

Answer 1

Yes

Explanation

One applicant questioned the scoring of their repeated exams (3 non-passing scores). The details of scoring was explained: each exam is scored immediately after it is received at ACBN Headquarters by 2 individual staff members, if any irregularities or discrepancies are noted the Exam and / or Item Writers Committees are notified for comment and direction. If no irregularities or discrepancies are noted, the applicant is notified of the results. The applicant then has a subscribed amount of time to accept or dispute the findings and / or apply for re-exam (up to 3 exams in total).

After taking the exam for a third time without achieving a passing score (hand scored by 2 staff members) all 3 exams were re-evaluated by SMEs (Subject Matter Experts), a committee of physicians that are responsible for the development of the exam questions. All exam questions were dissected, found to contain scientifically accurate information and were grammatically as well as psychometrically correct.

The applicant was informed of the results and the specific area in which a topic deficiency was found to exist. The SMEs notified the program provider of the educational deficiencies that were realized through the SMEs investigation so curricula updates may be established.

Document B**Additional documents****Comments to Applicant**

13. Appeal of Ineligibility

Description

13. Have candidates for certification appealed eligibility decisions for any of your accredited certification programs? If so, how many for each program and what was the outcome?

Answer 1

No

Explanation**Document B****Additional documents****Comments to Applicant**

14. Appeal of Recertification Decisions

Description

14. Have candidates for certification appealed recertification decisions for any of your accredited certification programs? If so, how many for each program and what was the outcome ?

Answer 1

No

Explanation**Document B****Additional documents**

Comments to Applicant

15. Complaints

Description

15. Have you received formal complaints that raise questions about the competence or ethical behavior of certificants for any of your accredited certification programs?

Answer 1

No

Explanation**Document B****Additional documents****Comments to Applicant**

16. Security Breach

Description

16. Have you experienced any loss of secure exam materials or other security breach?

Answer 1

No

Explanation**Document B****Additional documents****Comments to Applicant**

17. Cancelled Scores

Description

17. Have you cancelled scores for any examinees?

Answer 1

No

Explanation**Document B****Additional documents****Comments to Applicant**

18. Other changes

Description

18. Are there other notable changes made to your organization or its programs in the reporting year that should be reported to the NCCA or would be otherwise beneficial for the NCCA to know?

Answer 1

No

Explanation**Document B****Additional documents****Comments to Applicant**

Examination Data

Credential

Diplomate, American Clinical Board of Nutrition (DACBN)

Reporting Period

Calendar Year

Form Name

2019 Form

Form Creation Date

11/1/2019

Total Number of Candidates

6

Pass Rate

50

Passing Point

65

Average Score

69.1%

Raw or scaled score?

Percent

Standard Deviation

7.6

Standard Error

2.9%

Decision Consistency

0.889 (Livingston)

Reliability Estimate

.851 (Cronbach's alpha)

Exam Items

150 scored (fixed)

Explanation

Statistics run in Lertap

Certification/Recertification Data

Credential

Diplomate, American Clinical Board of Nutrition (DACBN)

Total Number of Certificants

274

1st Time Certified

3

Recertification Frequency

Annually

Recertification Count

271

Percent Recertified

94%

Certification/Recertification Data

Credential

Diplomate, American Clinical Board of Nutrition (DACBN)

Total Number of Certificants

274

1st Time Certified

3

Recertification Frequency

Annually

Recertification Count

271

Percent Recertified

94%