Innovation Industries

Sustainability risks and adverse impacts

Version 1.1 / August 2022

This document is a description of Innovation Industries' policies on the integration of sustainability risks and the consideration of adverse impacts on sustainability factors in its decision-making processes and ownership practices. Innovation Industries has decided not to consider Principal Adverse Sustainability Impacts as defined per article 4 of the SFDR[1], and therefore not to make the disclosures as described in article 6 of the SFDR RTS[2]. The main rationale for this decision is that we feel that the administrative burden and the data challenges related to the collection of the principal adverse impact indicators are not proportional to the small size of both Innovation Industries and its portfolio companies.

However, through its Responsible investment policy statement, Innovation Industries has made a commitment to invest responsibly. This commitment implies that we consider and actively manage sustainability risks as well as adverse impacts on sustainability factors. Through this process, we seek to avoid significant harm to any sustainable objective, in line with the Do No Significant Harm (DNSH) principle under Article 2 (17) of the SFDR.

The concept materiality helps us define and prioritize the sustainability issues that are most important to our portfolio companies and their stakeholders. We define 'material ESG factors' as those factors that have a potentially substantial impact on a company's ability to create and preserve economic, social and environmental value. This definition ensures that we approach sustainability from two perspectives:

- risks posed by potentially material environmental, social and governance (ESG) issues, including those driven by external developments
- positive and/or negative impact of our investee companies on ESG-factors in their wider environment

Our ESG management system (see figure 1) is tailored to the needs of and requirements for early stage and growth stage companies. It covers material sustainability risks and foreseen impacts, i.e. the ESG factors that are specifically relevant at these stages of business development. Our approach is detailed in two procedures: an ESG integration procedure (origination, screening, due diligence and decision-making) and an Investment monitoring & engagement procedure.

[1] REGULATION (EU) 2019/2088 OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL of 27 November 2019 on sustainability-related disclosures in the financial services sector
[2] Draft Regulatory Technical Standards issued under the SFDR

Figure 1. ESG Management System



Responsible investment

Our investment strategy leads us to invest in companies at a stage where their impact on sustainability factors is still low. It is designed to optimize our funds' potential for positive impact by considering sustainability factors during investment selection and decision-making. Active and long-term engagement is essential to the realization of this potential. All of this is captured in our Responsible investment policy statement.

ESG integration to identify and prioritize ESG factors

Our ESG integration procedure outlines how Innovation Industries' commitment to responsible investment is embedded into the practice of investment selection and decision-making. This procedure was written by the ESG Officer and formally approved by the General Partners of Innovation Industries on March 31st, 2022. The ESG Officer is responsible for implementation of the procedure.

Exclusion and positive screening

Through our standard list of restricted sectors & exclusion criteria, we exclude a number of industries and activities from our investment portfolio because, by definition, they do not comply with international agreements or treaties or because they do not comply with our ethical standards. The exclusion criteria are part of the investment guidelines for each of our funds.

More generally, Innovation Industries excludes companies that are active in sectors or working in technology areas that we consider inherently unsustainable, meaning that their technology comes with sustainability risks or adverse impacts that are unmanageable and cannot be compensated by the positive impact that is foreseen. This is including but not limited to:

- companies active in the fossil fuel sector
- companies with disproportional (expected) greenhouse gas emissions or (product/process) energy requirements
- companies negatively affecting biodiversity-sensitive areas
- companies involved in manufacturing or selling weapons and ammunition

Innovation Industries also applies sustainability indicators as positive screening criteria. The details of our impact investment strategy are fund specific.

High-level assessment of sustainability risks & potential adverse impacts

A high level assessment of sustainability risks and potential adverse impacts is performed during screening of an investment opportunity. This provides early input into focus areas for the ESG due diligence.

Due diligence

During due diligence on an investment opportunity, we perform two assessments: a) an industry-level and strategic risk assessment, and b) an assessment of ESG management capacity.

Industry-level and strategic risk assessment

A sector-based ESG checklist is used as a template for the identification and assessment of material ESG factors. We have developed different templates, each tailored to one of the main sectors within our investment scope. Using the templates, relevant issues are classified on an industry level as *high risk*, *medium risk* or *low risk*, taking into account the probability that risks will materialize as well as the severity of impact (on the company or the wider environment).

Subsequently, ESG factors are classified based on their strategic relevance for the investment opportunity. Strategic relevance is determined by assessing a company's business model and its strategic and sustainable objectives and any possible threats in the form of (the expected influence of) ESG factors including developments such as climate change, food scarcity and the rising cost of healthcare. Issues that are classified as of medium/high strategic relevance are assessed in detail.

Assessment of ESG management capacity

The extent to which the company has (or is in the process of developing) the general ability to manage ESG-related risks and exploit opportunities within a range of issue categories, is assessed through our *ESG Technology Start-up Maturity Grid* (hereafter, ESG Maturity Grid). Establishing the level of maturity of a target company for each issue category and comparing this to the company's overall level of maturity, serves the setting of post-investment priorities.

Decision-making

Due diligence can lead to the exclusion of investments that are deemed unsustainable in the long-term (see the section on exclusion). In most cases, the due diligence process does not lead to exclusion based on ESG-criteria, for two reasons:

- pre-selection takes place during the origination phase, based on a high level assessment of sustainability risks and foreseen impact
- foreseen impact is usually still largely unrealized at the time of investment, which provides us with an opportunity to build a sustainable and, hence, resilient company. This is how we ensure the additionality of our impact as an investor.

If the deal is continued, the outcome of due diligence provides valuable input for engagement during the post-investment phase.

Investment monitoring & engagement

Our Investment monitoring & engagement procedure outlines how Innovation Industries' commitment to responsible investment is embedded into the practice of active ownership. This procedure was written by the ESG Officer and formally approved by the General Partners of Innovation Industries on March 31st, 2022. The ESG Officer is responsible for implementation of the procedure.

Post-investment ESG action plan

Through a company-specific post-investment action plan, we establish the risks that need to be addressed in the short-term and the actions required to improve an investee company's ESG management capacity. In this way, we mitigate material risks and steer its development towards a sustainable and resilient company.

Active ownership

We apply a standard but flexible governance framework for active ownership, which serves to protect and create value. It specifies roles and responsibilities and establishes the yearly engagement cycle. The framework is designed to mitigate sustainability risks as well as avoid or minimalize adverse impact.

One of our General Partners is appointed a seat on the supervisory board of the company. This General Partner acts as lead for engaging with the company and monitoring progress. Also central to engagement on ESG factors is our ESG officer. The ESG officer meets regularly (at least once a year) with a key contact person for the company, to discuss relevant developments, provide guidance where needed and collect information to support the monitoring of progress.

ESG maturity grid

In each of these processes, we use our ESG Maturity Grid. This proprietary tool is essentially an overview of the development we expect to see in how companies deal with ESG factors over the different stages of their evolution from seed to full maturity. Using the tool, we regularly assess the level of maturity of a company's ESG management capacity on the basis of a broad range of aspects, from level of responsiveness amongst the Management Team to implementation of mitigating measures. This allows us to offer focused guidance and induce action where it is most needed, in view of the risks, challenges and opportunities linked to the stage the company is in.

The ESG Maturity Grid has two axes: company stage and issue category.

We distinguish six stages of company development:

1. Seed capital
2. Start-up
3. First stage
4. Second stage
5. Small tech company
6. Mature

Early

Growth

We distinguish seven issue categories, as depicted in table 1. Where a category encompasses multiple ESG factors, this is specified under 'Description'.

Table 1. Issue categories and ESG factors

Issue category	Key business function(s)	Description
Environment	R&D (process), production	GHG emissions & Energy efficiency, hazardous materials, resource efficiency, (hazardous) waste management
Product design & lifecycle	R&D (product): material input, use phase and end-of-life	GHG emissions & Energy efficiency, hazardous materials, resource efficiency, (hazardous) waste management
Human capital	Human capital management	Employee attraction & retention, performance management, mental health & wellbeing, culture, (gender) diversity & inclusion
Occupational health & safety	R&D (process and product), production, sales	Health & safety in the workplace, product safety
Supply chain	Supply chain management	Supply chain management addressing all material issues, including human rights
Data privacy & security	HR, customer relationship management, IT	Collection, retention & use of personal data, information security
Business ethics	All	Business conduct, including bribery & corruption, conflict of interest, fraud

References to international standards

Innovation industries endorses and has, through the ESG management system, aligned its investment practice with:

- The OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises
- The OECD Due Diligence Guidance for Responsible Business Conduct
- The UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights
- The ten principles of the UN Global Compact

Innovation Industries is signatory of the United Nations Principles for Responsible Investment (UN PRI).