



April 20, 2021

Growth Stocks Vs. Value Stocks, The Untold Reality

By Robert Zuccaro, CFA

Russell Investments makes the case in its “2021 Equities Outlook – Value’s Time to Shine?”¹ that value stocks will outperform growth stocks for years to come. Much of their case rests on “regression to the mean” theory given significant underperformance by value stocks relative to growth stocks over the past 15 years. For Russell investments to suggest that value stocks will outperform growth stocks based on regression theory is simplistic and ignores the underlying fundamentals that favor growth stocks.

The Russell 1000 Growth ETF enjoyed a banner year in 2020 with a return of 38.2% compared to 2.7% for the Russell 1000 Value ETF. Fortunes flip-flopped in February as the Value ETF beat the Growth ETF by 9.8% versus -1.1% for its best relative showing in any month since 2001. In March, Bank of America jumped on the value bandwagon proclaiming that “value stocks will continue to beat growth after record outperformance in February.”

The Wiesenberger Mutual Fund Report traces fund performance by style each year starting in 1959 and ending in 2004. It shows that a \$100 investment in growth funds would have grown to \$9,380 by the end of 2004. The same \$100 investment in value stocks would have grown to \$7,046. Hence, the growth style established a premium of 33% relative to the value style over 46 years.

Let’s take a look at the performance of the Russell Growth and Value ETFs in the past fifteen years.

Cumulative Returns for Periods Ending 2020

Time Frame	R1000 Growth ETF	R1000 Value ETF	Growth Premium
5 years	170%	75%	133%
10 years	349	164	113
15 years	426	130	228

Sources: Russell, Golden Eagle Strategies

It can be seen that growth premiums have widened significantly in each time period relative to the Wiesenberger growth premium of 33% earned over 46 years. It should also be mentioned that Growth won out in 10 of 15 years. Why then have growth stocks significantly outperformed value stocks in the past 15 years?

There has been a seismic shift in the US economy that has carried over to the stock market. During the period when Wiesenberger tracked fund performance, value companies displayed sensitivity to economic cycles that continues to this day. When the economy turned down, so did their sales and profits. When the economy turned up, so did their sales and profits. Sometimes profits would rise to record levels on the upturn.

Until the turn of the century, the U.S. economy was heavily influenced by industrial companies before technology companies came of age. Apple came public in 1980 with an IPO of \$101 million (not billion). Microsoft came public in 1986 and Amazon in 1997.

As late as 2010, there were only two technology stocks among the five largest by market cap in the S&P 500 index. Today, the five largest are all technology stocks – Apple, Microsoft, Amazon, Facebook and Google. These five stocks fall into growth stock nomenclature and represent an unprecedented 22% of overall weighting of the S&P 500 as of year-end, according to the findings of Golden Eagle Strategies. Each of the big five reported record earnings in 2020 with an average gain of 38% whereas S&P 500 earnings declined 16%.

Golden Eagle Strategies has argued and documented time and again that earnings growth is the key driver of stock prices. Many growth companies continue to report record earnings year in and year out. By comparison, earnings for many companies in the airline, banking, energy, and auto companies peaked



many years ago, according to our research. This can be seen in the following table using 2019 for comparison, the year in which overall profits rose to a record high. (Using the pandemic year of 2020 would make the comparison even worse as five of the eight companies lost money in this year)

Value Stocks	Peak Year Earnin	2019 Earnings vs Peak Year
American Airlines	2015	-60%
United Airlines	2015	-41
Bank of America	2006	-39
Citigroup	2005	-83
Chevron	2011	-89
Exxon	2011	-65
Ford	2011	-99
General Motors (new stock*)	2016	130

*GM went bankrupt; reentered business with an IPO in 2010

From 2015 to 2019, corporate profits rose 62% to an all-time high. However, earnings for the value companies in the above table have been in a downward trend for years. Even with improvement this year, earnings progress for each company will still fall far short of their prior peaks set many years ago.

Unlike most market commentaries which lean toward value stocks, we conclude that value stocks have gotten ahead of themselves and that their dominance may not last very long. Thus far this year, their stock prices have risen considerably more than their expected earnings improvements, as seen in the next table. In addition, expected earnings gains for the three companies that were profitable last year appear lackluster when compared to expected growth of 22% in overall corporate profits.

Company	2020 EPS Growth	2021 Est EPS Growth	2021 Price Gain YTD
American Airlines	Deficit	NM *	48%
United Airlines	Deficit	NM	29
Bank of America	-32%	14%	32
Citigroup	-62%	20	21
Chevron	Deficit	NM	26
Exxon	Deficit	NM	40
Ford	Deficit	NM	37
General Motors	-5%	21	38

* NM – not meaningful. Growth rates are not published when earnings swing from negative to positive in corresponding periods.

Golden Eagle Strategies has strong doubts that value stocks can maintain their newfound leadership in the stock market. Many growth companies, and technology companies in particular, are anticipated to produce record earnings in 2021 and 2022, whereas value company profits will remain depressed against prior peak levels. The chasm in profits between the two styles will continue to widen which should work to the advantage of growth stocks. In conclusion, Golden Eagle Strategies believes that the party is not over for growth stocks and that growth stocks will retake the lead in the market before the year is out.

¹ [Russell Investments' 2021 Equities Outlook](#)

Disclaimer

Golden Eagle Strategies, LLC (Formerly known as Target QR Strategies, LLC) is an investment adviser to private investment funds. This material is for general informational purposes only and does not constitute a solicitation or offer for any investment product or service. Certain information may be derived from third-party sources and is believed to be reliable, but its accuracy and completeness are not guaranteed. Opinions, estimates and projections constitute Golden Eagle Strategies' judgment and are subject to change without notice. Past performance is no guarantee of future results and it should not be assumed that any investment or strategy will be profitable or will equal the performance of any example or illustration. Different strategies will have varying risks, potential for return, and costs which should be understood prior to investing. Investing involves risks and you may incur a profit or a loss. The investments or investment strategies discussed herein may not be suitable for every investor. CFA is a registered trademark owned by CFA Institute. Data shown is through the date listed on article.