1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
•	50-2100 LOOK!	
2	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ALABAMAILE, AL 36602	
3	southern division 2000 JUN 26 P 8 43	
4	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	FILED
5	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, *	CRIMINAL NO.: S OF FIGE 83
6	VS. *	NOVEMBER 19, 1999 COURTROOM 2B
7	PERRY MALONE, *	U.S. FEDERAL COURTHOUSE MOBILE, ALABAMA
8	Defendant. *	HODILE, ALABANA
9	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	
10	SENTENCING HEARING	
11	BEFORE THE HON. RICHARD W. VOLLMER, JR.	
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
13	<u>APPEARANCES</u>	
14		oorah A. Griffin, Esquire
15	Ass	sistants to the U.S. Attorney
16	63	S. Attorney's Office S. Royal Street, Suite 600
17		oile, Alabama 36602
18	Mes	ry L. Armstrong, Esquire ssrs. Armstrong, Vaughn and
19	Att	Scroggins corneys at Law
20		O. Box 2370 phne, Alabama 36526
21		ry Frances Giattina, RDR, CRR
22	P.	ficial Court Reporter O. Box 3021
23		oile, Alabama 36652-3021 34) 690-3003

```
(November 19, 1999, 8:30 a.m.) (In open court.)
1
        (Defendant present with Counsel.)
2
             THE COURT: Y'all be seated. All right.
3
                                                       In the case
   of United States versus Perry Malone, Case Number 98-183.
       Mr. Malone, I have a motion that has been filed by you on
5
                       I did not receive that as a result of
   October the 25th.
6
   failure of communications between the Clerk's Office and the
7
   Court until this past week. Do you have anyone here,
9
   Mr. Malone, who represents you other than or in addition to
   Mr. Armstrong?
10
11
             DEFENDANT: Well, not at the moment, Your Honor, but
12
13
             THE COURT: Well, I don't want you to talk about it
           I'm going to, I'm going to recess this hearing for a
14
   moment, and I'm going to have a hearing in-camera with
15
16
   Mr. Malone, his attorney, and his attorney on the record
   insofar as the allegations made in this motion to have
17
18
   Mr. Armstrong removed.
        I, of course, will hear from the Government on the issue at
19
   a -- when we complete the in-camera hearing, but we are -- it
20
   is my expectation that we will go forward with the sentencing
21
   this morning. That, of course, will depend on the outcome of
22
   the -- of this other hearing.
23
```

```
your attorney so that you can keep what you have to say outside
 1
   the general knowledge of the Government and the community.
 2
   that -- do you ask for that, or do you want to have an open
 3
   hearing on this?
 4
             DEFENDANT: Your Honor, I personally, I would prefer
 5
   just to have an open hearing.
 6
 7
             THE COURT: All right. We will have an open hearing
   on it.
           That is fine.
8
       All right. Mr. Malone, you have filed a motion to have
9
   appointed counsel, Gary Armstrong, removed, and your
10
11
   allegations contain the following statements about
   Mr. Armstrong, your attorney, Mr. Armstrong, for
12
   misrepresentations on the Defendant's behalf.
13
        Tell me exactly, Mr. Malone, what misrepresentations --
14
             MS. GRIFFIN: Judge, we'd like to have him put under
15
16
   oath before he responds to the Court.
             THE COURT: All right. That's fine. I'll ask you to
17
18
    come forward, Mr. Malone, and take the stand.
        (The Defendant was placed under oath.)
19
             THE COURT: All right. Let me see Counsel for both
20
   sides, Mr. Armstrong, at sidebar just a moment.
21
        (Sidebar conference, on the record, Defendant present:)
22
             THE COURT: All right. My primary reason for the
23
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

to have this in-camera so that there will be no question about Mr. Malone's rights being affected by the Court's questioning. I don't know how to go about that. Mr. Armstrong, there are allegations made about you. MR. ARMSTRONG: Yes, sir. THE COURT: I will tell you this in the presence of Mr. Malone. I have complete confidence that you represented Mr. Malone, in my opinion, very well and ably and did an excellent job. However, Mr. Malone has made these allegations. Now, I don't know whether you have any rights or not, Mr. Armstrong. I'm going to ask the Government, though, the problem I have is in the Court's questioning of the Defendant, Mr. Armstrong, I don't want to become a prosecutor in this I'm not trying to prosecute. I'm trying to find out what allegations are made. I don't know how to do it other than as I suggested originally, Mr. Malone declined to have it in-camera, to be sure that he is protected from any questions that I may ask. Now, let me hear from the Government on your opinion on that. MS. GRIFFIN: Judge, I think the first thing is that allegations about problems with his attorney which are

privileged should be just the Court, Mr. Malone, Mr. Malone's

which, of course, waives the privilege.

I don't know that the Court should question him, but my belief based on the filing of his motion has to do with an ongoing investigation and not a matter that Mr. Armstrong is appointed on. Mr. Armstrong is appointed on two cases that were tried, one before Your Honor and one before Judge Butler.

His claims appear to relate to an ongoing investigation that as yet as to Mr. Malone is uncharged for which he is not -- Mr. Armstrong is not appointed. So, I don't think it has any bearing as to the Court's proceedings here tomorrow. In fact, I don't think there's any duty or jurisdiction to go into it. He's uncharged as to another matter. There's only one matter before Your Honor, and that's the trial that Your Honor tried. And I think until he makes a showing that what he's complaining of had to do directly with the trial before Your Honor, then there's nothing to be -- nothing to hear.

THE COURT: I understand that. That's what I'm going to do is to find out what his complaint is. And what I'm saying is that I'm not prosecuting Mr. Malone. I'm simply trying to find out from Mr. Malone, as you suggest, Mr. Malone, you will waive your privilege for your attorney not to testify in connection with this before the Government if you do this in open court. And I'm not trying to dissuade you from doing it

THE COURT: You have not -- you don't have anyone, as

I understand it, to represent you here other than Mr. Armstrong
who has represented you in the past. My understanding, and you
can correct me on this if I'm wrong, is that Mr. Armstrong
wrote me a letter. I sent him a copy of your motion. He
advised that he tried to go down and speak to you and that you
refused to talk to him in -- at the jail; is that correct?

DEFENDANT: It was sort of a misunderstanding. The
warden gave me an option to either have a visit with my aunt or
see my attorney, and I chose to see my aunt.

THE COURT: Well, Mr. Malone, let me tell you -- the

THE COURT: Well, Mr. Malone, let me tell you -- the problem I have is I'm also aware of what Mr. Armstrong has told me about what, that the -- whoever is in charge at the jail down there will be willing to testify this morning.

MS. GRIFFIN: Judge, if I might, I think it benefits the parties for the Court to have it ex parte, that's with Malone and his attorney, because I think it protects his rights. If he wants to give up those rights, that's his business, but I think the Court is wise on warning him that this type hearing to protect him should be with the Court, the Court Reporter, and Mr. Armstrong and Mr. Malone, because it could be that various decisions are made based on whatever that hearing is, and that those matters should remain sealed to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

23

you so you'll know, I have a duty to make an investigation to determine whether or not the allegations that you make in that are adequate to require me to remove Mr. Armstrong. Now, to do that, I'm going to have to ask you certain questions. this in open court, it may place you in a position of having additional charges made against you as to what you testify to. It may cause the Government to file another charge against you. I'm not involved in any of that, but I do have the duty to make this investigation, and I'm going to make this investigation. As I suggested to you, I would rather do it in chambers with only the Court Reporter, your attorney, you, and to find out exactly what your allegations are. That is for your protection. Now, you have waived that, but I want to be sure that you waive it again under full knowledge as to what is going to occur. Now, you will have all of these issues on appeal. No matter what this Court does, you will have a right to appeal this to another court. DEFENDANT: Right. THE COURT: You are in an area that I'm not real 21 familiar with. Insofar as your rights are concerned, I'll try to give you every right that you have. Mr. Malone, this Court, 22

I've always tried to give every defendant every opportunity to

```
question you concerning these allegations made against you.
1
                                                                  If
   as a result of what you say there are other charges made
2
3
   against you, that is a possibility. So, I'm telling you that
   as well.
4
       Now, if it's in chambers, this will all be sealed. No one
5
   will have a right to this except you, your attorney, and the
6
7
   Government under certain circumstances where the Court opens
    the record for the Government on appeal.
8
            MS. GRIFFIN: And Mr. Malone's appeal counsel would
9
10
   have the opportunity.
             THE COURT: Well, Mr. Malone's counsel would always
11
   have the opportunity to review it.
12
             DEFENDANT:
                         Uh-huh.
13
             THE COURT: Now, I'm going to ask you once more so
14
    that you'll be sure that you understand. Under all of those
15
    circumstances, are you satisfied to waive those rights and go
16
    forward with this hearing in open court?
17
18
             DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. All I want to do is tell the
            That's all. If I'm going to get charged with telling
    truth.
19
    the truth --
20
21
             THE COURT: Well, I understand what your position is
22
    going to be, but I am saying to you do you want to do it in
    open court or do you want to have this in chambers?
23
```

1 Okay. MR. ARMSTRONG: Your Honor, I would go on the record 2 that still being Mr. Malone's counsel, I'd advise him against 3 4 I would advise him that he should do it in chambers. MS. GRIFFIN: And I think the Court can make the 5 decision that the Court thinks it's in his best interest where 6 the Court thinks it's an attorney-client conflict to allow the 7 Government to be privy to attorney-client communications. 8 think the Court should make its own ruling that it should be a 9 closed hearing. 10 DEFENDANT: I don't understand it. How can you just 11 -- the Judge just say that it's my privilege, and how can the 12 U.S. Attorney say it's not my privilege, it's your privilege? 13 Pretty much, that's what she just said. 14 THE COURT: Well, I'm going to grant the privilege to 15 16 you, Mr. Malone. DEFENDANT: I sure appreciate it, Your Honor. 17 18 THE COURT: You're going to do it. But I am going to tell -- Mr. Armstrong has represented you, in my opinion, to 19 the highest standard of an attorney. 20 DEFENDANT: And I --21 THE COURT: And I want to tell you this: You need to 22 23 listen to him. You need to make your own decision, but what

```
against it for your own protection. You don't have to because
1
   I am going to allow it to be in open court, and I am going to
2
   make a full investigation into it in open court. I'm going to
3
4
   ask you questions for which you may have some legal
   responsibility, and you may be charged as a result of your
5
   testimony. I'm not suggesting that you will be. I'm simply
6
7
   talking about your rights.
            DEFENDANT: Okay. I appreciate that, Your Honor.
8
9
             THE COURT: All right. Now, do you want to talk to
10
   Mr. Armstrong or do you want to go forward with this hearing?
11
             DEFENDANT: I want to go forward with it.
12
             THE COURT:
                        All right. Very good.
             DEFENDANT:
                         Thank you.
13
        (In open court.)
14
             THE COURT: All right. For the record, the Court
15
16
   would note that a motion has been filed by this Defendant
   contending that his attorney did not properly represent him in
17
18
   the case and asking for a different attorney. This Court has a
   duty to make an investigation into these allegations.
19
       I would caution all of those who are in court and
20
   especially the newspaper reporter who is in court to understand
21
   that these are only allegations by the Defendant. There is no
22
23
   factual support of this at this time. The only information
```

I am not suggesting to the media that they not publish whatever they choose to publish, but I am cautioning everyone here that the fact that these allegations are published -- and I don't give legal advice, but you need to find from your own attorney what the effect might be of reporting something about someone under simply an allegation by one who has an interest in the matter.

All right. Without going further, I'm going to go back,
Mr. Malone, and note for the record that we had a sidebar
conference, it is on the record, at which time your attorney
and the Court recommended that you have this hearing in-camera
outside the general public because this is a matter relating to
you and your attorney; that by having this in open court you
waived your privilege, and you do have a privilege that your
attorney cannot testify in connection with what has occurred in
the conversations with you unless you waive that privilege.

By having this hearing in open court, that is a waiver.

Mr. Armstrong will be questioned concerning the statements that
you make, and he will be required to answer those questions as
a result of the waiver that you have given by having this
matter in open court.

You have been made aware of that, and you have stated that you desire to have this hearing in open court; is that correct?

```
against your attorney in your motion to have him removed is
1
2
    that Mr. Gary Armstrong, for misrepresentation on Defendant's
3
    behalf, Mr. Armstrong has intentionally lied to the Defendant,
    Perry Malone, on numerous occasions to help the Government in
4
    preventing Defendant to produce documented evidence and
5
    witnesses that would have been pivotal in the outcome of both
 6
7
    the Defendant's trials.
                    I will hear from you, Mr. Malone, as to each
        All right.
8
    and every document, witness, and individual who will testify to
9
10
    confirm your statement that your attorney intentionally lied to
    you and each occasion on which he did lie to you and what those
11
    particular lies were.
12
        All right, sir. You may speak. Be sure you speak -- if
13
14
    you will sit forward and speak into that microphone so we can
    all hear you.
15
             DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. Well, first of all, he lied
16
    about the deal with the Government conspiring to violate my
17
18
    rights.
                         I'm sorry, lied to you about?
19
             THE COURT:
                         Well, he lied to me about --
20
             DEFENDANT:
                         What did he say?
21
             THE COURT:
22
             DEFENDANT: He said that -- it's dealing with -- could
    I explain to you in a way that I can explain it best, sir?
23
```

```
that your lawyer made to you which you have stated in here in
 1
   preventing you to produce documented evidence and witnesses
 2
 3
   that would have been pivotal in the outcome of both the trials.
   Now, what did he tell you? Did you tell him that you had
 4
   documented evidence? Did you tell Mr. Armstrong that you had
 5
   documented evidence that would prove you were not quilty of the
 6
   crimes charged against you?
 7
             DEFENDANT: Yes, sir, I did.
 8
             THE COURT: All right. Tell me what you told him,
 9
10
   what documented evidence you told him you had. Give me the
   names of every document that you told him about.
11
             DEFENDANT: My Kastigar transcripts, they were altered
12
13
   to --
             THE COURT: All right. You told him that your
14
   Kastigar transcript was altered?
15
16
             DEFENDANT:
                        Right.
17
             THE COURT: And was there not a hearing on that before
   Judge Butler?
18
             DEFENDANT: No, sir. We -- it wasn't a hearing on
19
           I brought that issue up, and Judge Butler, he neglected
20
    to respond to that issue for at least about four months.
21
22
    in my opinion, that reason is because --
             THE COURT: I'm not interested in your opinion at this
23
```

```
Kastigar hearing.
1
             DEFENDANT: First of all, he told the Court that -- he
2
   told me that he told the Court that I told him exactly what was
3
   taken out of my transcripts and what was added to my
4
5
   transcripts, and I didn't tell him nothing like that. I told
   him no more than what I told the Court. One issue was about,
6
   one issue was about U.S. Attorney Kenyen Brown perjured himself
7
   on the stand. That's what I told him. But it's like eight or
8
   nine issues on my Kastigar transcripts --
9
             THE COURT: All right. Tell me every issue. You told
10
11
   him that the Kastigar hearing that was -- the trial transcript
12
   was --
             DEFENDANT:
                        Altered.
13
14
             THE COURT:
                        -- was changed.
15
             DEFENDANT: In the Kastigar hearing, yes, sir.
             THE COURT: In the Kastigar hearing. You told him
16
   that the United States Attorney, Kenyen Brown, lied.
17
18
             DEFENDANT:
                        That's right.
                        What did Mr. Kenyen Brown lie about?
19
             THE COURT:
             DEFENDANT: He lied about he didn't have no
20
    conversation or receive no letter from U.S. -- from my attorney
21
   regarding --
22
23
             THE COURT: Your attorney being whom?
```

```
DEFENDANT: Yeah. And about me and a situation
 1
   dealing with my mother-in-law. Okay. And what happened was --
 2
            THE COURT: Well, what else did he lie about, anything
 3
   else?
 4
 5
            DEFENDANT: Yes. He lied about --
            THE COURT: Now, we're talking about the United States
 6
   -- Assistant United States Attorney --
 7
            DEFENDANT: Kenyen Brown?
 8
 9
            THE COURT: -- Kenyen Brown.
            DEFENDANT: Right. Let me see. Well, it wasn't just
10
   Kenyen Brown. It was U.S. Attorney Maria Murphy as well.
11
            THE COURT: Maria Murphy, Attorney -- United States
12
   Attorney Maria Murphy lied about what?
13
            DEFENDANT: I didn't say she lied. I'm telling you
14
    what my attorney lied about. It was regarding those two
15
    attorneys and --
16
            THE COURT: And what did your attorney say to you that
17
18
   you considered to be a lie?
            DEFENDANT: Well, he told me that I told him exactly
19
   what was taken from my transcripts and exactly what was added
20
    to my transcripts. I didn't tell him that. I didn't discuss
21
    it with him because --
22
23
            THE COURT: Well, Mr. Arm -- I mean, Mr. Malone, what
```

```
hearing on the Kastigar, that all of these matters were brought
 1
        Is that incorrect?
2
             DEFENDANT: No, sir, not the matter I'm talking about.
3
   I filed a motion about -- at one time about the altering of my
4
   transcripts which could have cleared me from these charges.
5
             THE COURT: Was there a hearing on that motion?
6
7
             DEFENDANT: No, sir, there wasn't. There was not a
   hearing. And the Court has been intentionally trying to
8
9
   seclude this information.
             THE COURT: All right. Let me stop you just a minute.
10
   I would ask both Counsel for the Government and Counsel -- and
11
   Mr. Armstrong, if you would, to make notes on this. I was not
12
    involved in the Kastigar hearing, as you know. As a result, I
13
   don't know what was done.
14
        Now, tell me this, Mr. Malone. In connection with the
15
16
   Kastigar hearing, that was prior to the trial in this -- before
    this Court in the case of 98-183. That Kastigar hearing was
17
18
    prior to that. The Court has knowledge of that because I was
    aware that there was a Kastigar hearing and that Judge Butler
19
   had ruled on that matter.
20
        Now, did you bring that matter -- did you bring that to
21
    this Court's attention before trial of this case?
22
23
             DEFENDANT: Yes, sir, I did.
```

```
THE COURT: All right. And your position was that, as
 1
   I recall, that you disagreed with the judge's ruling in that
 2
   matter?
 3
             DEFENDANT: Absolutely.
 4
 5
             THE COURT: All right. Well, that's what I'm asking.
   Now, at that time, was there any discussion about
 6
7
   Mr. Armstrong's activity in connection with that Kastigar
   hearing?
8
9
             DEFENDANT:
                        (No audible response.)
             THE COURT: My recollection is that you were satisfied
10
11
    with Mr. Armstrong in representing you.
12
             DEFENDANT:
                         No, sir.
13
             THE COURT:
                         That's not correct. I'm not suggesting
14
    that I am --
             DEFENDANT: Okay.
15
             THE COURT: Was that all on the record? Was that all
16
    taken on the record?
17
18
             DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
             THE COURT: It was. The court reporter was here, so
19
    we have all of that information.
20
             DEFENDANT:
                        Yes, sir. I said that I was satisfied
21
    with him on most of the issues other than --
22
23
             THE COURT: The Kastigar?
```

```
THE COURT:
                         All right. That's my recollection as
1
    well.
2
3
             DEFENDANT:
                         Uh-huh.
             THE COURT:
                         All right. Now, so that at the time --
 4
   what are you complaining about Mr. Armstrong that he did or
5
    failed to do other than in the Kastigar hearing in connection
6
    with his activities as you have here that he failed to provide
7
    or produce documented evidence and witnesses that would have
8
    been effective in having a different result in the case tried
9
    before this Court, Case Number 98-183?
10
             DEFENDANT: Well, that's a different issue itself
11
    other than the Kastigar hearing.
12
13
             THE COURT:
                         Yes, sir. That's after the Kastigar
14
    hearing.
                         Okay. So, we're finished with the
15
             DEFENDANT:
16
    Kastigar hearings now?
             THE COURT:
                         Yes, sir.
17
18
             DEFENDANT:
                         Okay.
             THE COURT:
                         What documents did you give Mr. Armstrong,
19
    make him aware of that he did not produce at trial? What
20
    witnesses did you give Mr. Armstrong or note Mr. Armstrong that
21
    you wanted to call that he did not call for trial?
22
             DEFENDANT: Okay. One of the documents was a letter
23
```

```
allegations that she had no knowledge to. And he told me that
   -- well, I told him that my wife had the letter. He told me
 2
   that he never saw a letter, he asked my wife about the letter,
 3
 4
   but she says she didn't have a letter.
       Now, my aunt told me that she notified Mr. Armstrong about
 5
   this letter and that he -- that she had the letter, but --
 6
 7
             THE COURT: Did you tell Mr. Armstrong to call that
   witness to testify?
8
             DEFENDANT: No, sir. I told Mr. Armstrong to produce
9
   that letter before the Grand Jury to let them hear that letter,
10
   and he failed to do that. And that would have --
11
             THE COURT: Counsel, the Grand Jury -- Mr. Armstrong
12
13
   has no rights before the Grand Jury as far as I know to present
14
    evidence; is that correct?
             MS. GRIFFIN: That's correct, Your Honor.
15
16
             THE COURT: All right.
             DEFENDANT: Even if I ask him to?
17
18
             THE COURT: Even if you ask him to. The Government
   presents the evidence to the Grand Jury. Let's talk about --
19
             DEFENDANT: Well, we had presented evidence on my
20
    behalf.
            We produced witnesses on my behalf. And he was
21
   willing to --
22
23
             THE COURT: At the Grand Jury?
```

```
DEFENDANT: That's what I was talking about, the
1
   trial.
 2
             THE COURT: So, you're saying that at the trial of
3
   this case, you told him to get the letter?
 4
             DEFENDANT: That's right.
 5
             THE COURT: And did he say he couldn't find the
 6
   letter, he didn't get the letter? Did he just tell you that
7
   your wife said she didn't have the letter?
8
             DEFENDANT: Yeah. He said my wife said she didn't
9
   have the letter. And so, but my aunt told --
10
             THE COURT: Well, what your wife said, did you talk to
11
   your wife? Did she tell you she did have the letter?
12
13
             DEFENDANT: Yes, yes, sir.
14
             THE COURT: And did she bring the letter to court to
15
   testify?
                              She brought the letter to my aunt,
             DEFENDANT: Yes.
16
   and she -- yes, sir. And she was going to testify --
17
             THE COURT: Did she come to court and testify?
18
             DEFENDANT: Well, she didn't testify, but she was
19
   here.
20
             THE COURT: She did not testify?
21
             DEFENDANT: She was here. She would have.
22
             THE COURT: What are you saying, that you told your
23
```

```
THE COURT: Well, what are you saying?
 1
 2
             DEFENDANT:
                         I am saying that I wanted the letter to be
 3
   read to the Grand Jury to show that this witness that was
 4
   testifying against me, her testimony was not credible because
 5
             THE COURT: All right, sir. Understand, we're talking
 6
7
   about the trial of this case. We are not talking about the
   Grand Jury. Grand Jury is another matter.
8
             DEFENDANT:
                         I'm talking about the trial.
9
10
             THE COURT: You're talking about the trial of this
11
          You wanted the letter read. Whether or not the letter
    is read is an issue of evidence. The question is did -- was
12
   that witness offered at trial?
13
14
        (No audible response.)
             THE COURT: Did this witness testify against you at
15
   trial?
16
             DEFENDANT:
17
                        Yeah.
18
             THE COURT: The aunt?
             DEFENDANT: Yeah. The witness testified against me at
19
   trial, the same one that wrote the letter.
20
             MS. GRIFFIN: Judge, when he says my mother-in-law, I
21
22
   gather he's talking about Mamie Floyd. If he is talking about
23
   Mamie Floyd, she did not testify before Your Honor in the trial
```

```
THE COURT: All right. We can only talk about the
 1
   case I'm aware of, Mr. Armstrong. I'm not aware of cases that
 2
   are tried in another court. You can bring that up before Judge
 3
 4
   Butler in a little while. The question I have right now is,
   are you talking about Mamie Butler, is that who you're talking
 5
   about?
 6
 7
             DEFENDANT: Mamie Floyd.
             THE COURT: Mamie Floyd?
 8
 9
             DEFENDANT:
                         Uh-huh.
10
             THE COURT:
                        All right. And did she testify in this
11
    case, in the case in this Court?
12
             DEFENDANT:
                        No. She testified in the other one.
             THE COURT: All right. Did you ask your attorney to
13
   have her testify in this case?
14
15
             DEFENDANT: No, sir.
             THE COURT: All right. So, Ms. Floyd did not testify
16
    in this case?
17
18
             DEFENDANT: No, sir.
             THE COURT: And that is not an issue in this case?
19
             DEFENDANT: Well, I was under the impression that this
20
   was the only hearing that we was going to have regarding this
21
22
   matter, so --
             THE COURT: Well, this is the only hearing I'm going
23
```

```
in another case, that's Case Number 184, and you will have to
1
   bring up anything that you did before him, before Judge Butler
2
3
   because I'm not aware of that. I'm asking you to specifically
   limit your testimony as to what your attorney did or failed to
4
5
   do in this case.
       Now, going back to documents, what document did he not
6
7
   present in this case that you told him to present?
             DEFENDANT:
                         In this case.
8
9
             THE COURT:
                         In this case.
10
             DEFENDANT: Let me see. Well, in this particular case
11
             THE COURT:
                         Can you refer to any specific document?
12
                         Well, in this particular case, it was --
13
             DEFENDANT:
   there were witnesses that he didn't -- he didn't produce.
14
             THE COURT: All right. We'll get to witnesses
15
   momentarily. We're talking about documents now.
16
17
             DEFENDANT: Documents. I can't recall of any
18
   documents regarding this case.
19
             THE COURT: All right. Then let's go to witnesses.
   What witnesses did you -- would have testified on your behalf?
20
   Give me the names of those witnesses and what their testimony
21
22
   -- what you told your attorney their testimony would be, the
   names of every witness that you testify that you told your
23
```

```
DEFENDANT: Well, there was a Correction Officer King,
1
   A. King. He had --
2
            THE COURT: A as in -- initial A. King, K-i-n-g?
 3
 4
            DEFENDANT:
                        Uh-huh. I don't know his first name.
                                                                It
   begins with "A."
 5
            THE COURT: All right. You asked him to call
6
   Probation Officer A. King?
7
            DEFENDANT: No. He's a correction officer.
8
            THE COURT: Sorry. Correction Officer A. King?
9
                                                                Now
10
            DEFENDANT: Uh-huh. And he came here to testify.
11
            THE COURT: Was he subpoenaed by your attorney?
12
            DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. He was subpoenaed.
13
14
            THE COURT:
                        And he came to court. He was at court?
             DEFENDANT: Well, he was outside -- well, I didn't see
15
   him because he didn't come inside the courtroom, but he --
16
   Mr. Armstrong said he spoke with him outside the Court. And
17
18
   Mr. Armstrong told me that, that his testimony --
            THE COURT: Mr. King's testimony?
19
            DEFENDANT: Uh-huh. -- was the same testimony as the
20
    witness that he was supposed to be testifying against.
21
2.2
   that was not his testimony.
            THE COURT: Well, did you talk to Mr. King?
23
```

```
DEFENDANT:
1
                        Before trial.
             THE COURT:
                        Where did you talk to Mr. King?
 2
             DEFENDANT: At the Metro Jail.
 3
             THE COURT: He came down to talk to you at the Metro
 4
   Jail?
 5
                        Yes, sir. When I was -- I was --
             DEFENDANT:
 6
 7
             THE COURT: Wait one second. Yes, sir.
            MR. ARMSTRONG: Your Honor, this Correction Officer
 8
   King is one of the witnesses that Your Honor would not allow me
9
   to call as a witness.
10
             THE COURT: All right. Then we'll move on to another
11
   point.
12
             DEFENDANT: I don't remember him not being --
13
             THE COURT: Well, this will be in the record.
14
   that's incorrect, you will have that on appeal. Tell me the
15
16
    name of another witness that you say that you told your
   attorney to call that was not called.
17
18
             DEFENDANT: Bert Noojin, an attorney here in Mobile.
             THE COURT: Bert Noojin. Was Mr. Noojin subpoenaed
19
   for trial?
20
             DEFENDANT: He was supposed to have been, but I don't
21
    think he was.
22
23
             THE COURT: All right. Did you talk to Mr. Noojin?
```

```
Mr. Noojin was going to say?
 1
             DEFENDANT:
                        Yes, sir.
 2
             THE COURT: How did you know what Mr. Noojin was going
 3
   to say?
 4
 5
             DEFENDANT: Mr. Armstrong told me.
             THE COURT: Mr. Armstrong told you what Mr. Noojin
 6
 7
   would say?
             DEFENDANT: Uh-huh.
 8
 9
             THE COURT: All right. And what did Mr. Armstrong
10
   tell you Mr. Noojin would say?
11
             DEFENDANT: That -- he said in so many words, no, I
12
    don't believe Mr. Malone was a big-time drug dealer like the
13
   U.S. Attorney is making him out to be. And he said that -- the
14
    only thing he said that was not in my favor was back in '92 or
    '93, I drove an old model purple Mercedes which only costed a
15
16
    couple thousand dollars. And he said other than that there, he
   didn't have -- I didn't have any money because he was looking
17
18
   for me --
             THE COURT: Is that what he said?
19
20
             DEFENDANT: Yes.
             THE COURT: He told Mr. Armstrong this, that you
21
   didn't have any money?
22
23
             DEFENDANT: No. He said he didn't believe that I was
```

```
testify? What else would he have testified to?
1
            DEFENDANT: Well, that's pretty much it.
2
3
            THE COURT: All right. All right. Who else?
4
        (No audible response.)
5
             THE COURT: What other witness that you told
   Mr. Armstrong to call that he did not call?
6
7
            DEFENDANT: Okay. Patrick Lilly.
            THE COURT: Patrick?
8
9
            DEFENDANT: Lilly.
                        Lilly, L-i-1-1-y?
10
            THE COURT:
11
            DEFENDANT:
                        Uh-huh. Now, this particular witness --
12
            THE COURT: Did you tell him to call Mr. Lilly?
             DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
13
14
             THE COURT: And did you tell Mr. Armstrong what
   Mr. Lilly would testify to?
15
16
            DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
             THE COURT:
                        All right. And what do you say Mr. Lilly
17
18
   would testify to?
19
             DEFENDANT: That Government agents --
20
            THE COURT: Government agent being whom?
             DEFENDANT: Don Walton and Alan Ducote, they were
21
   trying to intimidate him to lie on me. They were pretty much
22
23
   asking him to tell lies on me about drug dealings.
```

```
Well, he didn't say --
 1
             DEFENDANT:
             THE COURT: Are you saying that he told you, Patrick
 2
 3
   Lilly told you that these two officers attempted to induce him
   to perjure himself?
 4
             DEFENDANT:
                        Yeah. He told --
 5
                        Is that what he told you?
             THE COURT:
 6
 7
                             That's what he told my aunt. And --
             DEFENDANT:
                        No.
             THE COURT:
                        That's what he told your aunt?
 8
             DEFENDANT:
                        Uh-huh.
 9
10
             THE COURT: All right. Do you have any knowledge of
   what he said, you personally?
11
             DEFENDANT: No, sir. But I feel like my --
12
             THE COURT: Well, I know what you feel like. Did you
13
    -- did Mr. Armstrong talk to your aunt?
14
                        Not about him. And neither did he talk to
15
             DEFENDANT:
   Patrick Lilly. And I thought he should have been obligated to
16
    at least question the, you know, the witness in my behalf.
17
18
             THE COURT: All right. And who else now?
             DEFENDANT: Okay. Well, there's another U.S.
19
   Attorney, Donald Partridge, which was a partner of the lawfirm
20
    of Hess and Atchison.
21
             THE COURT: You're going to have to -- I'm sorry, I'm
22
   not following you. You say Donna?
23
```

```
DEFENDANT: Donald Partridge.
1
            THE COURT: Donald Partridge. He is an attorney?
2
            DEFENDANT: Uh-huh.
3
            THE COURT: Did you say he was a United States
4
5
   Attorney?
            DEFENDANT: No. He's, he's got his private practice.
6
7
            THE COURT: All right. And Mr. Partridge, what
   knowledge does he have of the crime charged in Case Number 183?
8
            DEFENDANT: That's the next case.
9
            THE COURT: I'm sorry?
10
            DEFENDANT: That's the next case. I'm sorry.
11
            THE COURT: That's in the 184?
12
13
            DEFENDANT: Uh-huh.
14
            THE COURT: All right. Limit it to 183.
            DEFENDANT: Okay.
15
            THE COURT: And this case, 183, was tried in this
16
   Court before Judge Butler tried Case Number 184; is that not
17
18
   correct?
            DEFENDANT: Yes, sir, that's correct.
19
            THE COURT: All right.
20
       (Brief pause.)
21
            DEFENDANT: And let me see. Debbie Carney.
22
23
            THE COURT: Debbie?
```

```
DEFENDANT: I think it's C-a-r-n-i-e (sic).
 1
             THE COURT: Debbie Carney. And who is she?
 2
             DEFENDANT: She's the general manager at the -- at
 3
   Regions Bank where my account was, the business account.
 4 ·
             THE COURT: All right. What did she know about this
 5
   crime, Case Number 183?
 6
             MR. ARMSTRONG: Your Honor, Debbie Carney was called
 7
   in this case. She testified.
8
9
             THE COURT: All right. She testified.
10
             DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. She did testify -- she
    testified -- no, she testified in this case. She didn't
11
    testify in the other case.
12
             THE COURT: All right.
13
             DEFENDANT: But I -- but she was a witness, she was
14
    supposed to have been a witness in both cases, and her
15
16
    testimony should have related to both cases.
             THE COURT: All right. But this -- I'll let you raise
17
18
    that in the other case. Mr. Malone, I can only handle matters
    that came up in this case. So, if she testified in this case,
19
    let's move on to -- well, let's move on to what other witness
20
    may have testified or did or did not testify.
21
22
             DEFENDANT: Okay. Then there's a -- there's a Stefan
    Smith, Steven Smith, Stefan Smith, I believe, is how he
23
```

```
1
             DEFENDANT:
                         Uh-huh.
                         And what did Mr. or Ms. Smith have -- know
             THE COURT:
 2
   about the case 183?
3
                        Well, his testimony would have also --
 4
             DEFENDANT:
                         What did he know about this case?
 5
             THE COURT:
             DEFENDANT:
                        Well, he was supposed to have been called
 6
   for this case, but his -- it wasn't direct information towards
7
   this case. It was information towards an agent that was on
8
9
   this case.
             THE COURT: All right. Who was the agent?
10
             DEFENDANT: Don Walton.
11
             THE COURT: All right. And this witness would testify
12
13
   to what about Don Walton?
             DEFENDANT: His conduct, how he tends to intimidate
14
   people and violate their rights.
15
             MS. GRIFFIN: Your Honor, Mr. Walton did not testify
16
    in 183.
17
             THE COURT: All right. I understand that.
18
             MR. ARMSTRONG: Also, Your Honor, he was -- a petition
19
   was filed, and the Court would not allow us to use him also.
20
             THE COURT: That's my recollection, Mr. Armstrong and
21
   Mr. Malone. I believe that all of these matters, were they not
22
23
    taken care of at the pretrial conference that we had in
```

```
DEFENDANT: Well, the Court limited a lot of
 1
   witnesses, but it's a couple of witnesses that I can recall
 2
   that was not limited. And I believe he was one of them, and CO
 3
   King was one of them. And my attorney didn't say that he
 4
 5
    couldn't testify. My attorney -- he came here to testify, CO
   King, and my attorney said that he didn't let him testify
 6
 7
   because it wasn't very helpful to us. That was -- those were
   his exact words. It wasn't that the Court wouldn't allow him
 8
 9
   to testify.
             THE COURT: Mr. Armstrong, did I rule that he would
10
   not be allowed to testify?
11
             MR. ARMSTRONG: To the best of my memory, Your Honor,
12
13
    that's correct. What Mr. Malone said about me saying Mr. King
14
    is absolutely, completely, and totally false. I was prepared
    to call him. The one witness on this list that the Court did
15
    not exclude was Bert Noojin, but I'll talk about Bert Noojin
16
    when Your Honor will want to.
17
18
             THE COURT: All right. All right. Going back to the
    witness before that you said that Mr. Armstrong did not call in
19
    184, who was that? What was that woman's name again?
20
             DEFENDANT: Debbie Carney?
21
             THE COURT: I don't remember. Debbie Carney, is that
22
```

the one?

```
to have Debbie Carney testify? Was that before this case was
1
   tried?
2
            DEFENDANT: Both cases, she didn't -- he said she
3
   didn't come on the second one because of -- she was out of
4
   town, but I didn't have a problem with that. The point is the
5
6
   Court allowed --
7
             THE COURT: All right. Well, if you didn't have a
   problem with it, then let's not go into that on the other case.
8
            DEFENDANT: But I did have a problem with this
9
   particular witness, her testimony. It was -- the Court allowed
10
11
   Mr. Armstrong to read -- to say what she would have said, and
    it wasn't a big issue --
12
             THE COURT: This is in Case Number 184?
13
14
             DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
15
             THE COURT: And that occurred after this case was
   tried, our case was tried?
16
             DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. But it reflects on the first
17
18
   case.
19
             THE COURT: All right. Well, I agree with that.
   what did Mr. Armstrong do in that case? You say he testified
20
   as to what she would say?
21
             DEFENDANT: What she said in the prior trial.
22
23
             THE COURT: What she said in this trial?
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

```
Mr. Malone had had at least 15 to 20 -- no, she spoken to me at
least 15 or 20 times about my financial situation and my NSF
checks, my checks being returned to the bank, and I need to
quit writing checks when I don't have the money to pay for
them.
    Now, Mr. Armstrong said that in the second case that she
said I had that problem, she's spoken to me a couple of times
or a few times, you know, regarding that issue. And I think
that's -- 15 to 20 times is a big difference from a few times.
That's my point. And I told him before he --
         THE COURT: All right. I'll note that for the record.
All right.
         MR. ARMSTRONG: Your Honor, briefly, dealing with
Ms. Carney, she was subpoenaed for the second trial.
it was Tuesday we found out that she was going to be out of
town until Thursday. I spoke with Ms. Griffin. We tried to
get Your Honor's Court Reporter sitting in court today to see
if I could contact her to transcribe Ms. Carney's testimony to
       We were not able to make contact until roughly mid
Wednesday afternoon after the trial was concluded.
    In lieu of having to delay the trial for two days, it was
proposed that we could offer a proffer as to what this witness
would testify to. Ms. Griffin agreed. Mr. Malone agreed.
```

```
the trial couldn't be delayed, and we tried to get a transcript
1
   of what she said, but he agreed to a proffer and it was read to
 2
   the jury.
3
             THE COURT: All right. The Court will note that for
4
   the record. All right.
 5
             DEFENDANT: Well, he should have read --
 6
             THE COURT: Well, this is -- this will be on the
 7
   record, Mr. Malone, and you can have all of this on appeal.
8
9
       Now, tell me what other witnesses that he failed to call or
10
   refused to call.
             DEFENDANT: Well, let me see. That may be the only
11
   witness other than Pam McCarty, which was a Government witness.
12
13
   And I believe that -- well, she testified in both trials for
   the Government. And she, and she lied in the first trial. She
14
   denied something in the first trial, but in the second trial,
15
16
    she admitted it.
17
             THE COURT: All right. Counsel -- I mean, Mr. Malone,
18
   that's on the record. That will be on the record. You'll have
   that on the record.
19
             DEFENDANT: Okay.
20
             THE COURT: But your -- she was called at the trial?
21
             DEFENDANT: Yeah, on the Government's behalf. But my
22
23
   counsel, the counsel -- my attorney should have asked her or
```

```
THE COURT: All right. That will all be on the
1
   record.
            All right. Now, the next -- any other witnesses?
 2
   Does that take care of the witnesses?
 3
            DEFENDANT: Yes, sir, I believe that does.
 4
            THE COURT: All right. Now, the next complaint that
 5
   you make is that your attorney intentionally deceived you by
6
   telling you that he was going to refile his pro se motion which
7
   was filed in April of this year to have Judge Butler recused
8
   for demonstrative bias. I know you're not familiar with all of
9
10
    the terms, but when you say his pro se motion, did you file a
   motion, a personal motion in the other case --
11
             DEFENDANT: I thought I said my pro se motion.
12
            THE COURT:
                         I'm sorry?
13
                        I thought I had wrote my pro se motion.
14
            DEFENDANT:
             THE COURT: You had filed a motion in the other case
15
    to have Judge Butler recuse himself?
16
             DEFENDANT:
                        Yes, sir.
17
18
            THE COURT: Was that ruled on by Judge Butler?
                        No, sir.
19
            DEFENDANT:
                         It was never ruled on by Judge Butler?
             THE COURT:
20
                         No, sir. Judge Butler had stricken all of
21
             DEFENDANT:
   my motions from the Court records because of appointed attorney
22
    Gary Armstrong.
23
```

```
THE COURT: All right. So, he appointed you an
 1
    attorney and struck your pro se motions. Did you ask
 2
   Mr. Armstrong to file a motion to have Judge Butler recuse
 3
4
    himself?
             DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. I asked him to refile my
 5
   motion.
 6
7
             THE COURT: All right. Did he refile your motion?
             DEFENDANT: No, sir. He filed a motion to have him
8
   recused, but not for those -- not on those grounds.
9
             THE COURT: What was the grounds that you wanted him
10
11
    to recuse himself? Why did you ask Judge Butler to recuse
    himself?
12
             DEFENDANT:
                        Because --
13
             THE COURT: What grounds did you tell him that you
14
    wanted alleged?
15
16
             DEFENDANT: (No audible response.)
             THE COURT: Did you tell Mr. Armstrong to use?
17
18
             DEFENDANT: The same grounds, the grounds that I filed
19
    in that motion, which were -- let me see how to say this.
                                                               Ι
20
    was -- I was literally --
             THE COURT: Well, I know what you were. The question
21
22
    is what did you tell Mr. Armstrong to set out against Judge
23
    Butler that he should not try your case?
```

```
THE COURT: All right. Anything else?
1
            DEFENDANT: (No audible response.)
2
            THE COURT: Any other grounds that you told or that
3
   you used in your motion to have --
4
5
            DEFENDANT: No, sir. It was basically issues relative
   to the Kastigar hearing, both Kastigar hearings.
6
            THE COURT: Because of his ruling in Kastigar?
7
            DEFENDANT: No, it wasn't just because of his ruling.
8
   It was because of the activities that went on in the Kastigar
9
   hearing that he overlooked. Well, he saw it and -- but he
10
   didn't see it. He intentionally looked over it.
11
            THE COURT: All right. What did your lawyer do? He
12
   did not raise what issues?
13
            DEFENDANT: He didn't refile that -- my pro se motion.
14
            THE COURT: All right. He did not refile your pro se
15
   motion to --
16
            DEFENDANT: He told me he was going to -- we agreed
17
   that he would do that, but when he did it, he filed one of his
18
   own instead of mine. And it was totally irrelevant.
19
            THE COURT: Inadequate?
20
            DEFENDANT: Yeah, inadequate.
21
            THE COURT: All right. What else did he do? Let's
22
23
    see what you've alleged. All right. You say Mr. Armstrong was
```

hearings in an attempt to cover up the fact that this 1 conspiracy to take away your freedom had begun before the 2 Defendant was arrested. And Mr. Armstrong also had information 3 that the Government had been conspiring with other inmates to 4 5 frame the Defendant for a murder of a Government witness. Mr. Armstrong has reiterated to the Defendant after 6 7 interviewing several inmates that had given him statements that confirmed the existence of this conspiracy that he believed it 8 and that it didn't surprise him. 9 All right. Let's stop there for a moment. Now, tell me 10 what Mr. -- what Mr. Armstrong told you about his knowledge of 11 any conspiracy to violate your rights, any alteration of 12 transcripts that he had knowledge of, any witnesses that he had 13 14 interviewed that said that the Government had conspired with inmates to frame you for a murder of a Government witness. 15 MR. ARMSTRONG: Your Honor, before Mr. Malone answers 16 that, the first part of that Kastigar does deal with this case. 17 The second part are those same three witnesses that Mr. Malone 18 19 approached the Court about at sidebar. This is a case that has not been charged. 20 And I would advise Mr. Malone as his counsel that I don't 21 think he needs to get into that on the record in a case that's 22 23 not charged. There has been numerous other things that have

```
about an issue of a case that he may potentially be charged
1
   with.
2
 3
             THE COURT: You're advising him not to testify?
 4
             MR. ARMSTRONG: About that particular point. And
   Kastigar, whatever he wants to do is fine, but about these
5
   other Government witnesses, I would say that that needs to be
 6
7
   under seal.
             THE COURT: All right. Mr. Malone, you've heard your
8
   -- the recommendation of your counsel concerning these other
9
   matters and that how it may affect you in a later trial if
10
11
    there is a trial, a murder trial, if there is such a trial.
                                                                  Do
    you agree that this should be off the record insofar as that,
12
    or do you want to go forward and put it all on the record?
13
             DEFENDANT:
                        (No audible response.)
14
             THE COURT: You've heard him say it may be against
15
16
    your best interest, Mr. Malone.
             DEFENDANT: Well, Your Honor, I believe I know what
17
18
   he's referring to. One of the witnesses that he interviewed on
   my behalf, the Government interviewed him a few days later and
19
20
             MR. ARMSTRONG: Your Honor, again, I'd ask the Court
21
22
    to get a decision from Mr. Malone before he starts talking
    about this because I think this could be adverse to Mr. Malone
23
```

```
And don't tell us anything about it until you make your
1
2
   decision as to whether you want this on the record where if it
   is on the record so you know your Fifth Amendment rights, that
3
   if you testify here today, all of this testimony will be
4
5
   available for use in any other trial at any time in the future
   as to any charges that may be made against you. So, if you
6
   testify here, not only will it be subject to being used, but it
7
8
   is also sworn testimony and you will be bound by the testimony
   insofar as your being under oath here today.
9
             DEFENDANT: I really don't know what my attorney is
10
   referring to. Do you think maybe I could speak with him on the
11
   side so I could make that decision, because I don't --
12
13
             THE COURT: All right. You can go in the back of the
14
   Court into the Jury Assembly -- I mean, the juryroom and
15
   discuss it with Mr. Armstrong. And we're going to have a
   15-minute recess while you and Mr. Armstrong discuss it back
16
   there. There are restrooms back there, Mr. Armstrong, that you
17
18
   can both use. We will be in recess for 15 minutes. Would you
19
   take the Defendant into this juryroom, please, with
   Mr. Armstrong.
20
       All right. We're going to be in recess 15 minutes.
21
        (Recess from 9:40 to 9:50 a.m.)
22
23
        (Hearing resumed.)
```

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

```
All right. Mr. Malone, you have talked to your attorney
who was advising you in connection with any statements that you
might make concerning matters as it relates to another possible
charge. Have you decided what you would like to do on that,
Mr. Malone?
         DEFENDANT:
                    Yes, sir.
         THE COURT: And what is your decision?
         DEFENDANT: I would like to testify.
         THE COURT: You do want to testify. Very good.
        Now, if we will, I'm going to go back and ask you the
same question that I asked you earlier. You were -- you allege
here that Mr. Armstrong was aware that the Government had
conspired to violate your rights by altering the transcript in
both Kastigar hearings in an attempt to cover up the fact that
this conspiracy to take away your freedom had begun before you
were arrested. Now, that is in connection with your earlier
statement that there were changes made in that record; is that
right?
                    Yes, sir.
         DEFENDANT:
         THE COURT: All right. And you say that Mr. Armstrong
told you that he was aware that -- of these changes in the
record?
```

DEFENDANT: He said --

```
your rights and take away your freedom is concerned? What are
1
   you saying that he knew?
2
 3
             DEFENDANT: Well, after reading the transcripts, he
   believed that -- he said to me that something is not right
4
   about these transcripts, something just didn't make sense.
5
                                                                But
   I knew exactly what wasn't right. I was at the hearing.
6
7
             THE COURT: All right. Well, you may have known, but
   he told you he knew there was something that was not right?
8
9
             DEFENDANT:
                         Yes, sir.
10
             THE COURT: About that -- about what was set out in
11
   that hearing?
             DEFENDANT:
                        Yes, sir.
12
             THE COURT: And he did not then -- what did he fail to
13
   do in that regard? Did he question the Kastigar hearing? Did
14
   he object to it?
15
             DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. He -- at my request, he brought
16
   the issue up before the judge on numerous occasions, orally and
17
18
    written motions, but it took some months before he actually got
   a response.
19
             THE COURT: Well, Counsel, you -- I'm sorry,
20
   Mr. Malone, you know, the Judge, you may have a complaint about
21
22
   the Judge's ruling, but you have no complaint about
23
   Mr. Armstrong bringing this matter up; is that correct?
```

that he knew exactly what parts of the transcripts were altered.

THE COURT: Mr. Armstrong testified or stated in open court that he knew what part of the Kastigar transcripts were incorrect?

DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. And I thought he said that because he was going to come and talk with me and for me to give him all the information. But I gave him no more than what I gave Your Honor in open court. I told him one particular issue. But I told you there are many issues that have been altered in my transcripts to cover up this conspiracy that I'm talking about.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. ARMSTRONG: Your Honor, I think a brief summary that I think Mr. Malone will agree with. In reading the Kastigar hearing, there were a series of questions that didn't make sense to me as to why there were not followup questions asked by counsel at that time. That's what Mr. Malone's referring to where he said I knew of other things. It just didn't make sense to me that certain followup questions would not have been asked.

We filed for the transcript. After we filed for -- to allow Mr. Malone to hear the tape along with the transcript,

```
directed us to refile and to point out the portions that
 1
   Mr. Malone thought were altered. Mr. Malone told me portions
 2
   that he thought were altered. That was pointed out.
 3
 4
        Judge Butler allowed me to hear those portions, of which
   the part that I thought should have been followup questions was
 5
   what I listened to. And it said, virtually, the tape what the
 6
 7
   transcript said. There were a few ahs and uhs and things such
   as that that were not there.
8
 9
        What Mr. Malone is now referring to, I think, is there are
10
    other areas of the Kastigar that he hasn't told me about that
11
   he thinks are altered. And that's the same thing that he told
    Your Honor in chambers during trial that Your Honor said, how
12
    can I bring those up if I don't know what they are, and
13
   Mr. Malone said, well, I haven't told him what those are.
                                                               And
14
    I think that's still what he's talking about.
15
            THE COURT: All right. Is that correct?
16
             DEFENDANT: Partly correct. It's not all --
17
18
             THE COURT: All right. Well, you correct it as you
    see it.
19
             DEFENDANT: Okay. Okay. Judge Milling didn't suggest
20
    that we -- I tell him what parts of the transcript were
21
              Judge Butler suggested that. And in open court at
22
    that hearing, Ms. Griffin said that that's what Judge Butler
23
```

```
understand what I'm asking you. Those are all on the record.
1
   All of that will be on the record. You will have all of those
2
3
   issues on appeal.
        What is your complaint about Mr. Armstrong concerning the
4
5
   fact that he was aware that the Government conspired by
   altering transcripts in an attempt to over -- to cover up the
6
7
   fact that this conspiracy began before you were arrested?
8
   did he know about any conspiracy? What do you allege that he
   told you that he knew about any conspiracy?
9
             DEFENDANT: Well, he said that he believed -- in so
10
   many words, he believed that something is not right about the
11
   transcript, something, from reading it.
12
             THE COURT: All right. Well, he just testified what
13
14
   he said.
              Is that what he told you, or are you saying that he
15
   said something else?
             DEFENDANT: Yeah, he said something else. He said
16
   that --
17
             THE COURT: What else did he say?
18
19
             DEFENDANT: He said that I told him the issues on the
   transcript that were altered. I did not tell him that. I told
20
   him one particular issue. There were many that were altered.
21
   I told him the only one I told him was the one that I told
22
23
   Judge Butler in open court, but there are a lot of places.
```

```
that hearing? And you will have all of that on the record.
1
2
       All right. Let's move on to the next issue, that
   Mr. Armstrong also had information that the Government had been
3
 4
   conspiring with other inmates to frame you, the Defendant, for
5
   the murder of a Government witness; that Mr. Armstrong has
   reiterated to the Defendant after interviewing several inmates
6
7
   that had given him statements that confirm the existence of
   this conspiracy, and that he believed it and it didn't surprise
8
9
   him.
        Now, this is what your attorney suggested you not testify
10
   to and asked you to be -- to protect your rights in this
11
12
   regard. You stated as you came out that you talked to your
   attorney, you heard his recommendation, but that you wanted to
13
   testify on this issue; is that correct?
14
                        Yes, sir, it is.
15
             DEFENDANT:
16
             THE COURT: All right. Then you may answer the
   question.
17
18
             MS. GRIFFIN: Your Honor, Mr. Armstrong -- first,
   Mr. Malone is not currently charged with anything other than
19
   the two cases that he has been convicted on. Second,
20
   Mr. Armstrong was appointed under the CJA as to those two
21
           It is our contention that this matter is not properly
22
23
   before the Court, nor is it a proper matter for Mr. Armstrong
```

```
innocence on the trial of 183 which occurred before Your Honor.
1
             THE COURT: Counsel, I have no -- I have no question
2
   about what you have just said, but the objection made by the
3
   Defendant in this case is that he wants the counsel replaced
4
5
   because he has not properly represented him throughout the
   trial, and not only this trial but another trial. And under
6
   those circumstances, I'm going to let him bring out everything
7
   on every trial as to -- up to this point so that I can
8
   determine whether or not Mr. Armstrong, in the Court's
9
   judgment, is competent and is properly representing this
10
   Defendant throughout all of these matters. And I'm going to
11
   let him testify to it, and I'll overrule your objection.
12
13
       Go ahead.
14
             DEFENDANT: Well, he -- after interviewing these three
   witnesses --
15
             THE COURT: What witnesses did he interview? Did he
16
   tell you what witnesses he interviewed?
17
18
             DEFENDANT:
                         Uh-huh.
             THE COURT:
                         Tell me the names of the witnesses he told
19
20
   you he interviewed.
             THE WITNESS: I believe one of them was Devon Hill.
21
             THE COURT: Devon Hill, H-i-l-1?
22
23
             DEFENDANT: Uh-huh.
```

```
THE COURT: Terrence Moseley.
1
            DEFENDANT: The other one was -- here is my paper, but
2
   my attorney probably got the name on top of his head.
3
            MR. ARMSTRONG: If he's asking me to repeat that name,
4
5
   I will. Is that what you're asking me to do? Gerald --
            THE COURT: Do you say you have a paper? Do you have
6
7
   a paper here?
            DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
8
9
            THE COURT: With the name on it?
            DEFENDANT: Yes, sir, but I don't have to. I know it
10
11
   now. He's told me the first name. I know it.
12
            THE COURT: All right. Go ahead.
13
            DEFENDANT: Gerald Watkins.
14
            THE COURT: Gerald Watkins.
            DEFENDANT: Watkins.
15
            THE COURT: All right. And he said that he
16
    interviewed these individuals, and that they had given him
17
   statements?
18
            DEFENDANT: Uh-huh.
19
            THE COURT: And that that confirmed the existence of
20
   the conspiracy, that he believed it, and that it didn't
21
   surprise him?
22
23
            DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. That's -- those were the exact
```

```
know, try to frame me for this murder? And he said, well, it
1
   doesn't surprise me. I've seen stranger things.
2
                                                      Those were
   his exact words.
3
4
            THE COURT: All right. Now, what -- doesn't that
5
   indicate to you that he was properly representing you?
            DEFENDANT:
                        No, sir.
6
7
            THE COURT: What complaint do you have about that?
8
            DEFENDANT: Okay. Okay. The problem I have about
9
   that is that you, after you -- after he related this
   information to you at my request --
10
            THE COURT: Wait a minute. Mr. Malone, he did not
11
   give me the names of anything. All we had at sidebar was some
12
13
   sidebar conference concerning whether he had done some work on
14
   this other case, and I said that he did not represent you in
   that case and that that was not a matter that would come up at
15
   the trial of the case that we had, 183; isn't that correct?
16
             DEFENDANT: Partly. You also said that if he feels
17
   that any of this information is true, then he should refer it
18
19
   to the U.S. Attorney, Janet Reno.
             THE COURT: That's right. And what I said was that if
20
   he had -- if he believed from his investigation that this
21
   United States Attorney's Office was violating your rights or
22
23
   anyone's rights, that he should make that report to the
```

DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. 1 2 THE COURT: All right. Now, that, that I remember. 3 Now -- and you are complaining that he did not do that? that what your complaint is, that he did not make that report 4 of the conspiracy of the Government, the local Attorney's 5 Office to the Attorney General of the United States? 7 DEFENDANT: Well, for some time he didn't, until after I filed the motion is when I found out that he -- he came and 8 visited me after he received my motion to have -- to request 9 10 the Court to have him removed. He came to me with a letter that was dated prior to my motion. Now, the letter didn't --11 it didn't state the Government's main objective to frame me. 12 All he was saying is that they were supposed to have been 13 paying someone. But now he told you that it was a made up 14 He didn't put that information on Janet Reno's letter. 15 THE COURT: Mr. Malone, I do not remember him saying 16 17 anything about any made up story. DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. 18 THE COURT: I'm saying that there's a record of this, 19 and it will all be on the record, and that's what we will go 20 All right. Mr. Armstrong. 21 by. 22 MR. ARMSTRONG: Your Honor, a key phrase that you just mentioned is if I feel they're violating the Defendant's rights 23

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

MR. ARMSTRONG: A key phrase is what Your Honor and Mr. Malone have just said, if I felt his rights were being violated. Your Honor, I don't know if Mr. Malone's rights have been violated or not. I do feel that there is something very unusual or fishy going on. I heard what Your Honor said. talked to Deborah Griffin. She was kind enough to fax me debriefing notes of one of the witnesses that I spoke with about three days after I spoke with them that told the exact opposite of what that witness had told me. Thinking about it for several days and informing Mr. Malone of this, I still was very confused as to exactly what I should do. So, what I did was to write a five-page letter to the General Counsel of the Disciplinary Commission of the Alabama State Bar explaining the situation and asking for some They responded. Mr. Malone has a copy of that assistance. They responded, within a matter of three to four days received back to me, gave me some directions and indicated that they did not feel there would be any ethical impropriety to writing to Janet Reno as long as it was done under certain conditions. A copy of that letter was also given to Mr. Malone. I then drafted a letter to Janet Reno, revised it several

I then drafted a letter to Janet Reno, revised it several times, and mailed it out on October 21st prior -- after -- I

```
I reviewed this letter with Mr. Malone.
                                                 I found out about
1
   this motion that he filed on November 2nd when I was trying to
2
3
   find this recusal motion that is not in the court file that he
   referred to earlier. I was just going to the Courthouse trying
4
   to find that motion on the way to see Mr. Malone.
5
        I went to Mr. Malone.
                               We reviewed this letter. Mr. Malone
6
7
   felt that I did not give my opinion strong enough in that
   letter. Also, there was an error in a phrasing of one of the
8
9
   sentences that I made that Mr. Malone pointed out to me.
10
   asked me if I would write and reiterate to Janet Reno that I
   felt that there's something that needed to be investigated.
11
   indicated to him I would, and I did. And Mr. Malone also has a
12
   copy of that.
13
       Ms. Griffin is not aware of virtually any of that that I
14
   have just indicated to the Court because it was something that
15
   I did through the General Counsel, and I believe I followed the
16
17
   proper procedures.
18
             THE COURT: All right, sir. I don't question that.
   All right. Do you dispute what your attorney has said?
19
                        Yes, I do.
20
             DEFENDANT:
21
             THE COURT:
                         All right. Tell me specifically what you
   disagree with.
22
```

DEFENDANT: The fact that he failed to express what he

23

```
interviewed said that it was a made up story, that the
1
2
   Government is trying to get these witnesses to testify.
             THE COURT: Well, he did report it as you have
3
   complained of in this, prior to this motion. You're not
4
   satisfied with the way he did it, but he did do it?
5
             DEFENDANT: Yeah. He didn't do it the way he said he
6
   would, the way we agreed upon. And he also failed to mail my
7
   testimony as well.
8
             THE COURT:
                         Well, did you mail your testimony?
9
             DEFENDANT:
                        (No audible response.)
10
             THE COURT:
                        Did you attempt to mail your testimony?
11
                         No, sir.
12
             DEFENDANT:
13
             THE COURT:
                         Did you make any complaint to the United
   States Attorney?
14
                        No, sir, but I --
15
             DEFENDANT:
                        Or Attorney General, I'm sorry.
16
             THE COURT:
                        No, sir. I spoke with my aunt and asked
17
             DEFENDANT:
   her to do it for me, but she didn't have my, you know, my
18
   letter that I gave to Mr. Armstrong. He said that he would
19
   file it.
20
21
             THE COURT: All right. So, your complaint against
   Mr. Armstrong in that regard is that he did not write the
22
23
    letter in the same form that he talked to you about what he --
```

```
letter on what happened with me and the agents regarding this
 1
    investigation the night of the -- well, not of the murder but
 2
    the night of --
 3
             THE COURT: All right. Do you want this letter to be
 4
    introduced in evidence that he wrote?
 5
 6
             DEFENDANT:
                        That I wrote?
 7
             THE COURT: That he wrote to the U.S. -- to the
 8
   Attorney General.
             DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. I would like for that letter to
 9
    be introduced and my letter as well that I gave him to send to
10
    the U.S. Attorney General.
11
             THE COURT: And do you have your letter? Does he have
12
    your letter?
13
             DEFENDANT: He should have it, yes, sir.
14
15
             THE COURT: Both those letters?
             DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
16
             THE COURT: And are you offering those in evidence
17
    that you want the Court to consider?
18
19
             DEFENDANT: (No audible response.)
20
             THE COURT: You don't have to. I'm not trying to get
21
    you to put them in. I'm asking you if you want to. If there's
    any question in your mind, then you need the advice of counsel.
22
             DEFENDANT: Well, the letter he wrote, it's not that
23
```

```
determine whether it's beneficial to you or not without having
1
   the letter before it to see it. Mr. Armstrong --
2
             DEFENDANT:
                        Yes, yes, sir.
3
             THE COURT: -- has testified exactly what he has said,
 4
 5
   you have testified generally to the same thing, that he has
   testified -- that he has stated that he has written that
7
   letter, that it may not contain exactly how you asked it to be
   written, but he wrote it in the form after a discussion with
   the -- with the Alabama Bar Association Ethics Committee. And
9
   he is a lawyer, and he's required to provide and follow certain
10
   rules of ethics, Mr. Malone.
11
12
             DEFENDANT: Well, it wasn't how I asked it to be
13
             It was how he explained it to you. That's how I
14
   wanted him to explain it on the letter.
15
             THE COURT: Well --
             DEFENDANT: He just left out certain parts that I felt
16
17
   were --
             THE COURT: All right. Tell me what he left out that
18
19
   you think were important that he should have included.
20
             DEFENDANT:
                         The part about --
             THE COURT: Well, you say the part. There is no part,
21
   is there? It's not in.
22
23
             DEFENDANT: Right.
```

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Government to get certain inmates to -- to coerce certain inmates on this information to where they would be reliable witnesses or appear to be reliable witnesses to testify against me on that -- on the investigation that's ongoing right now. THE COURT: All right. And he didn't say that? DEFENDANT: No, sir. THE COURT: All right. All right. Let's go on. All The next complaint is Mr. Armstrong was instructed by Judge Vollmer, who has also shown demonstrative bias on the Defendant's behalf. However, during jury deliberations, after hearing some of the inmates' statements from Mr. Armstrong, instructed him to relay the information to the Attorney General, Janet Reno. This is the same --DEFENDANT: It's the same. THE COURT: -- issue, right? All right. All right. Since then, Mr. Armstrong has been ignoring his duty as an officer of the Court by making up excuses and telling the Defendant he did not know the proper procedure or the proper authorities which to rely -- excuse me, relay this information. And now we have had him testify, and you do not dispute that he did send this on to the Attorney General before you filed this motion. You were not aware of it at that time. DEFENDANT: I wasn't aware of it, yeah.

```
1
            THE COURT: And for these reasons, you ask the Court's
   motion to be granted -- your motion to be granted. All right.
2
   Do you have anything else to complain about Mr. Armstrong other
3
4
   than what you have said?
5
            DEFENDANT: Well, back to the issue about the tape, I
6
7
            THE COURT: The tape is the Kastigar tape?
            DEFENDANT:
                        Uh-huh.
8
             THE COURT:
9
                        Well, haven't we gone over that already?
10
            DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. You know, there's something
11
   else I thought about.
12
             THE COURT: All right. All right. Thank you,
   Mr. Malone. You may come down.
13
            DEFENDANT:
14
                        Sure.
             THE COURT: All right. Mr. Armstrong, I have certain
15
16
   questions for you. Mr. Armstrong, there is no -- you may come
   down, Mr. Malone. Mr. Armstrong, so you understand, you are an
17
18
   officer of this Court. I do not generally put officers of the
   Court under oath. I do not question your testimony in any way.
19
   However, since Mr. Malone is making these complaints, since he
20
   has been put under oath, I expect that it is proper to put you
21
22
   under oath as well. I want you to understand that is the
23
   reason that it is done.
```

```
raise your right hand, the court clerk will put you under oath.
1
        (Mr. Armstrong was placed under oath.)
2
            THE COURT: All right. Why don't you come up here, if
3
   you will.
4
5
        (Brief pause.)
             THE COURT: All right. You did not bring anything
6
7
   with you, apparently. Do you have a copy of his motion?
8
            MR. ARMSTRONG: Yes, sir.
             THE COURT: Would you like to get it so we -- I'm
9
   going to ask you if you have any, anything that you would like
10
   to remark on in connection with his allegations made against
11
   you that you have not already remarked on.
12
13
        (Brief pause.)
14
             MR. ARMSTRONG: Your Honor, the first thing dealing
   with the Kastigar, I think we've gone over that in great
15
            And if Mr. Malone indicates to me that he did not
16
   point out issues or areas that he felt were not correct, how in
17
   the world could I put them in the motion? Because he put, he
18
19
   put -- he gave me areas, and I put them in the motion. How
   could I put them in the motion if I wasn't even present in the
20
   Kastigar hearing?
21
             THE COURT: All right, sir. The question I have to
22
23
   you in that regard is he testified on the stand here that he
```

```
that you went in -- that you went into was given to you by him?
1
            MR. ARMSTRONG: Yes, sir, because I wasn't present at
2
3
   the Kastigar hearing.
             THE COURT: All right.
4
5
            MR. ARMSTRONG: But there are other points that
   Mr. Malone has said previously that he has not indicated to me
6
7
   that I have not raised because I don't know what they are.
             THE COURT: And he still has not told you?
8
            MR. ARMSTRONG: He still hasn't told me.
9
             THE COURT: All right. Go on. What else?
10
            MR. ARMSTRONG: Dealing with Bert Noojin, Your Honor,
11
   I did have a very long discussion with Mr. Noojin. I discussed
12
13
   what his potential testimony would be with Mr. Malone.
   how he was able to tell the Court part of what he told you
14
   about Mr. Noojin's testimony.
15
       Mr. Noojin indicated to me -- I do not have his notes in
16
   front of me from my conversations with him, but it was
17
18
   determined that Mr. Noojin could testify, I felt, on issues
   that could substantially hurt Mr. Malone. I cannot give you
19
20
   any of the exact details. I just don't have those notes.
   this was discussed with Mr. Malone, and it was jointly decided
21
   not to call Mr. Noojin as a witness.
22
23
             THE COURT: All right, sir.
```

case.

This letter of Mamie Floyd, to this day I haven't seen that letter. I have been told that that letter exists. I've been told it was sent to his wife. His wife was subpoenaed. His wife was here. I asked her about the letter. She indicated to me that it did not say anything whatsoever that would help Perry Malone at trial.

Apparently, the letter has now been given to one of Mr. Malone's aunts, and I still haven't seen the letter. It possibly could have been used in cross examination of Mamie Floyd, but in my opinion during the trial of Mamie Floyd or the testimony of Mamie Floyd, it was the cross examination, I think Mamie Floyd was more of a benefit to Mr. Malone than she was to the Government. But I still haven't seen that letter.

Dealing with Judge Butler's motion to recuse, as I said, I still cannot find a copy of that, but there were items contained that Mr. Malone had indicated that, Your Honor, I would not put my name to. I do not believe that Judge Butler was guilty of the allegations that were made by Mr. Malone.

In dealing with that, this was still at the very beginning of my appointment here, I was still reviewing the records, and I indicated that to Mr. Malone. The more I started to review the records, this was still prior to the Court granting

investigation that I made to continue with Judge Butler because I felt there was a much stronger chance of Judge Butler granting his motion to be allowed to withdraw his plea than if it would have been in front of Your Honor, because I felt after reviewing the record that Judge Butler didn't realize what he had done on the record, and I felt personally that Judge Butler would correct himself much stronger, with all due respect to Your Honor, than you would have overruled a judge sitting here in the same courtroom. That was discussed with Mr. Malone. It was determined not

That was discussed with Mr. Malone. It was determined not to refile. We had the hearing. That's exactly what Judge Butler did. But after that hearing, in my opinion then, when Judge Butler allowed Mr. Malone to withdraw his plea, Judge Butler then knew more about the case than an average judge sitting on a trial would know. I then filed a motion for Judge Butler to recuse himself on those grounds, which was denied.

Then what Judge Butler did -- I advised Mr. Malone many, many times there's an old saying of the law, be careful what you ask for sometimes, you might get it. And I advised him that I thought he'd be better off trying that case with Judge Butler instead of Your Honor because I felt that Judge Butler would have allowed certain evidence in that I felt that Your Honor would not. Mr. Malone disagreed. We filed that motion.

```
or transferred the case to Your Honor, and Your Honor did not
 1
   allow the exact testimony that I was afraid that Your Honor
 2
   would not allow that I felt Judge Butler would.
 3
 4
             THE COURT: All right, sir. Well, whether the Court
 5
   is right or wrong will be decided by the Court of Appeals.
             MR. ARMSTRONG: Yes, sir.
 6
 7
             THE COURT: All right. Anything else you'd like to
   add to that?
 8
             MR. ARMSTRONG: Your Honor, just a general statement.
 9
   Just because Mr. Malone, and just because I have talked with
10
    these three people, because it was indicated that they may have
11
12
    something to do with the drug conspiracy, Your Honor, whether
    or not those three people are making up a conspiracy or the
13
    Government is making up a conspiracy or anyone is making up a
14
15
    conspiracy is not for me to determine.
                                            I don't know.
16
        If his rights are being violated, it's another thing.
17
    this day, I don't know if his rights are being violated.
18
   did what I thought was proper in going to the Attorney -- to
   the General Counsel explaining this, and that's exactly what
19
20
   was done.
        Your Honor, I don't have any other comments, I don't
21
   believe.
22
             THE COURT: All right. Mr. Armstrong, let me ask you
23
```

It is my intention to go forward with that sentencing hearing unless you believe that you are unable or unwilling to go forward with that hearing and that you tell me that you cannot represent Mr. Armstrong -- Mr. Malone in this hearing.

MR. ARMSTRONG: Your Honor, I have already prepared, and it's sitting in my notebook right here, a motion to withdraw as Mr. Malone's attorney after sentencing is completed. I think as an officer of the Court, knowing as much as I do about this case, filing all necessary objections that I think have already been filed, I don't see any reason why the sentencing cannot go forward. If Mr. Malone does not want it to go forward, I would be more than happy to amend my motion to withdraw and file it right now prior to sentencing.

THE COURT: No, sir. The Court is not going to allow any defendant not to have his matter go forward by making allegations in this Court. The Court's opinion is that you have represented Mr. Armstrong -- excuse me, Mr. Malone fully and completely in this case. I have had a great deal of time put in with Mr. Malone. I do not mind that. That is my obligation to Mr. Malone. I believe I have given him every opportunity. I intend to continue to give him every opportunity. He will have every opportunity on appeal on all his other motions, but this matter is going forward this

```
this time.
1
        Thank you, sir. You may be seated.
2
            MR. ARMSTRONG: Your Honor, there is one point after
3
   sentencing that we would like to approach for a sidebar after
4
5
   sentencing.
             THE COURT: All right. Do you want to do that now or
6
   later?
7
            MR. ARMSTRONG:
                             It could wait after.
8
9
             THE COURT: Let's wait until after the sentencing.
10
        (Brief pause.)
11
             THE COURT: All right. Does either side know of any
12
   reason why sentencing should not be pronounced at this time,
13
   for the Government?
14
            MS. GRIFFIN: No, sir.
             THE COURT: For the Defendant?
15
            MR. ARMSTRONG: No, sir.
16
             THE COURT: All right. There are certain objections
17
   that have been filed on Mr. Malone's behalf. Do you have a
18
   copy of the Court's rulings on the tentative findings in
19
   response, Mr. Armstrong?
20
            MR. ARMSTRONG: No, sir, I do not.
21
             THE COURT: Well --
22
23
            MR. ARMSTRONG: I have Probation's addendum to it, but
```

```
Mr. Armstrong? Do you have a copy? Would you give
 1
   Mr. Armstrong a copy of those?
 2
             THE CLERK: This is the original.
 3
             THE COURT: Mr. Armstrong, if you will come forward,
4
   she'll give you a copy of these. And we'll get them back,
 5
   Mary.
6
             THE CLERK: Yes, sir. This is the original.
7
             THE COURT: All right. I am sorry, Mr. Armstrong,
8
   that you don't have a copy of this.
9
             MR. ARMSTRONG: That's okay.
10
             THE COURT: If you will look at them with Mr. Malone,
11
   and we will go forward momentarily. Do you have a copy,
12
   Ms. Griffin?
13
             MS. GRIFFIN: No, sir, Your Honor. And when
14
   Mr. Armstrong finishes reviewing it, I'll review it.
15
16
             THE COURT: Well, here, you can look at mine. Mary,
   we need to get these out to all parties. I don't know what
17
18
   happened. I . . . .
        (Off the record discussion.)
19
             MR. ARMSTRONG: Your Honor, there's always a problem
20
   with getting those rulings out. And very rarely does an
21
   attorney have --
22
23
             THE COURT: Well, we're going to try to do something
```

THE COURT: I am advising you that in the future, as soon as we get -- let me advise it for Probation's and for all parties. The Defendants, as you know, Mr. Armstrong, have to file objections at least 10 days before the sentencing hearing. That makes it very -- a very close question.

I am of the opinion that I am going to amend my ruling. I know that the requirements are that you file it 10 days, but I am going to advise Probation that in the future, I am going to request that we have these hearings delayed so that the Defendants can get these objections in at least 20 days before the sentencing hearing and file objections at least 15 days before the sentencing hearing. Now, that will not be required under the Federal law, but it will be required by this Court's rule.

All right. Mr. Armstrong, have you had an opportunity to review those as yet?

MR. ARMSTRONG: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: And these are objections that you have made, so that you are aware of what the objections are. We'll go through them, but I do not plan to spend a great deal of time on them. This is the Position of the Parties with Respect to the Defendant, Sentencing Factors for the Defendant, and these are objections filed by you, Counsel, on behalf of

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

objections two, paragraphs 10, 14, 15, 21, 23, and 31, the Court will advise you that the Court has a copy of the Court's notes on this in this case, and will rely upon that trial testimony. The testimony that the Court is aware of is adequate to allow the Court to conclude that the Defendant is responsible for more than 1.5 kilos of crack cocaine in the offense and did give untruthful testimony at trial. I have got a copy of the transcript of Mr. Malone's testimony at trial. There are numerous instances where this Court concludes that Mr. Malone was not truthful in his testimony. If the Defendant desires, Mr. Armstrong, the Court will require the Government if the Government is in a position to provide the information on the untruthful testimony. I have noted here the areas of what I consider to be untruthful testimony of the Defendant. It generally relates to the fact that he testified contrary to most of the witnesses in the trial of this case as to the facts involved. All right. Insofar as objection number two, the Court has simply noted that he objects to the probation officer having reported that the Defendant required the Government to try the case before the jury. The Court simply notes that. It has no effect on the Court's final decision in the case.

In connection with objection to paragraph 25, not receiving

trial, he denies his involvement in this and has not, as far as 1 I know, ever accepted responsibility in the case. And the 2 Court will overrule that objection. 3 In connection with your objections to paragraphs 34 and 36 4 5 of the Presentence Investigation Report, and the Court speaks only as it relates to Case Number 98-183, the calculations are 6 7 correct on this case facts. I have reviewed those. 8 satisfied that they are correct. I will, however, hear from you, Mr. Armstrong, if you desire in connection with paragraphs 9 34 and 36 as to how you say they are incorrect. 10 All right. On issue -- on paragraphs 83 and 85, we will 11 have a hearing on that aspect. 12 13 On paragraph 84, the Court makes no ruling in this case, as 14 that relates to Case Number 98-184. Insofar as your objection to paragraph 64, it is an 15 objection to the Defendant being addicted to crack cocaine. 16 The Court notes that, and the Court will not sentence this 17 Defendant in connection with any addiction and will not require 18 19 the Defendant at sentencing to undergo treatment for -- a comprehensive drug treatment. In connection with paragraph --20 it will not otherwise affect the sentence. 21 22 In connection with paragraphs 65 and 76, the Defendant 23 objects to being a current user of marijuana and states that he effect on this sentence.

In connection with the last objection, paragraph 77, the Defendant denies failure to report ownership of vehicles registered to him. The Court will note that denial, and will further note that this will not have any effect on the sentencing.

All right. Mr. Armstrong, I will hear from you on the issues raised in paragraphs 83 and 85 and also any objections that I have ruled on that you would care to speak to specifically that is not completely ruled on to your satisfaction or to the Defendant's satisfaction.

MR. ARMSTRONG: Your Honor, specifically in relation to paragraphs 83 and 85, those are statutory calculations. This Defendant submits that he is not guilty of any of these charges, and he should not be sentenced at all. Those are the statutory comments, and they are correct. He just denies that he should be sentenced at all.

THE COURT: All right. Very good. The Court will note that and overrule those objections. Now, I believe I also related that you could, you could provide any other objections specifically. Although the Court has indicated its ruling on this, I will hear from you if you have a specific objection that you do not think that the Court has satisfactorily

that he disagrees with the Court and would like to know where he is accused of testifying untruthfully in relation to your tentative ruling number one, dealing with paragraphs 10, 14, 15, 21, 23, and 31.

THE COURT: All right. That is an issue that this

Court took up personally. The Court, as you know, has a right

where the Court is of the opinion that the Defendant has

testified untruthfully to add two points to the sentence.

In this case, it will have no effect whatsoever on the final sentence, Mr. Malone, but the Court still is of the opinion that you were untruthful in your testimony. And when I say that, I have noted, I have some yellow stickers on all of the areas that I will make a part of this record so you may have it if you choose for the -- for your appeal. The Court is of the opinion, as I stated earlier, that you testified untruthfully in connection with the testimony that you gave contrary to all of the witnesses who testified in the case.

Let me hear from the Government on that position. If the Government is of the opinion that this is not correct, then I will not insist that the Government defend the Court's opinion.

MS. GRIFFIN: Your Honor, we agree wholeheartedly with the Court that he perjured himself numerous times in his own defense when he took the stand. We ordered the transcript of

```
second trial, Criminal Number 184, before Judge Butler.
1
   Mr. Malone did not take the stand in the second trial, so that
2
   that testimony was not used to impeach him during that trial.
3
 4
       He perjured himself numerous times as to his drug dealings
   with the witnesses that have testified as to his participation
5
   in the drug activity. We think the Court has correctly
6
   reviewed the transcript and determined on numerous occasions.
7
   We would ask that the Court document the pages that the Court
8
   has made a finding so that that will be perfected for the
9
   record.
10
11
        And further, we agree with the Court that that ruling has
12
   no impact on the sentence. He is being sentenced because of
13
   his statutory requirements and his prior criminal history. And
14
   that would be the same if he had more than two ounces of crack
   cocaine and had no finding of obstruction of justice through
15
   the commission of perjury during the trial.
16
        So, the Court's finding that he committed perjury, although
17
18
    it gives him two guidelines points, has no bearing on his
   statutory sentencing.
19
             THE COURT: All right. Mr. Armstrong, do you have
20
   anything to comment?
21
22
             MR. ARMSTRONG:
                             No, sir.
             THE COURT: All right. Bring the Defendant forward,
23
```

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

```
THE COURT: I believe, Mr. Malone, that the Court
failed to make a ruling on your motion. The Court denies your
motion to have your attorney withdraw, as you know, from the
fact that I have gone forward. I will have that put in the
record so that there is no question that I have denied that and
we have had this hearing with Mr. Armstrong representing you.
   All right. The Court would find that under the trial of
this case and the evidence presented, and the fact that the
Defendant was made aware of the fact that he had prior
sentences for drug dealing on at least two occasions and that
this requires a statutory -- allows for a statutory sentence
relating to the fact that this is the third offense, the Court
finds that the Total Offense Level in this case is a 40,
Criminal History Category of VI, which provides under Count One
on which the Defendant was found guilty to life imprisonment.
    (Brief pause.)
         THE COURT: Mary, can you find the portion of the
record which provides a notice to this Defendant of the prior
convictions? Do you have that on your --
         THE CLERK: Sarah Jane might be more familiar with it.
    (Off the record discussion.)
         THE COURT: All right. The Court also finds that the
Government has properly notified the Defendant of the
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Government pleads the statute, 21, United States Code, Section 851(a), which sets forth facts concerning two previous convictions of the Defendant each for felony drug offenses, which previous convictions expose the Defendant to increased punishment if convicted of any drug felony count in the superseding indictment herein. It sets forth the two prior convictions, one on December the 13th, 1995, possession of cocaine, sentenced in the Circuit Court of Mobile County, Alabama, and convicted in Case Number 94-3177, in which the Defendant was represented by counsel, and the Defendant has been provided with a judgment in that case and is aware of this conviction; and on a conviction of June 25th, 1991, the Defendant pled guilty to conspiracy to distribute cocaine and was sentenced in Mobile County Circuit Court, Mobile, Alabama, convicted of the charge, Case Number 90-1262, was represented by counsel, Defendant was provided with a copy of this judgment and was aware of the conviction; that the convictions are final within the meaning of 21, United States Code, Sections 841(b) and 962(b). These two prior felony drug convictions would result in the Defendant being sentenced to a mandatory term of life imprisonment without release under 21, United States Code, Section 841(b)(1)(A). The Court previously filed this enhancement on November the imposed.

Before sentence is imposed, Mr. Malone, do you have anything to say or to add?

DEFENDANT: None other than I, I'm not -- I'm certainly not asking the Court to have mercy on me because, I mean, after going through the procedures here in this Court, I can't see where there's mercy in this court system period, you know. And I just, I just -- I know that everything is in God's hands, and I know that things really need to change here in this court system because the Government is constantly violating people's rights here, and the Court is taking part of it, and I'm a witness of that. And I'm just believing that God is going to do that.

THE COURT: Well, Mr. Malone, this Court hears a lot of complaints. I have dedicated my life to justice, and I want you to know that. You apparently don't believe it. I don't know whether you don't believe it or you don't want to accept it, you don't want to accept your guilt.

The Court is of the opinion that Mr. Armstrong did a superb job in this case throughout the trial. This Court feels that you were given every opportunity throughout this trial to get a fair trial. The Court rules as it's required to rule. The Court is not surprised at your attitude because your attitude

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

about your rights being given to you will try to review this record and review all of the matters that have come before this Court and make a determination for themselves as to whether or not you were given a fair trial. DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. THE COURT: And not rely on either you or me. DEFENDANT: Amen. THE COURT: All right. Pursuant to the Sentencing Reform Act of 1984, it is the judgment of the Court that the Defendant, Perry Malone, is hereby committed to the custody of the United States Bureau of Prisons to be imprisoned for a term This term consists of a sentence of life imprisonment of life. on Count One in Case Number CR-98-183, and 240 months as to Count Two in Case Number 98-183, those two sentences to run concurrently, one with the other. If the Defendant is released from imprisonment, the Defendant shall be placed on supervised release for a term of 10 years. This term consists of 10 years as to Count One of Case Number 98-183 and three years as to Count Two in Case Number 98-183, said supervised release terms to run concurrently, one with the other.

Within 72 hours of release from custody of the Bureau of Prisons, the Defendant shall report in person to the probation

state, or local crimes, he shall be prohibited from possessing a firearm or other dangerous device, and shall not possess a controlled substance. In addition, he shall comply with the standard conditions of supervised release as recommended by the United States Sentencing Commission and on record with this Court.

The Court orders the Defendant also comply with the

The Court orders the Defendant also comply with the following special conditions of supervised release: He shall participate in a program of testing and treatment for drug and/or alcohol abuse as directed by the Probation Office.

The Court sentences the Defendant to life as this is the only sentence available. The sentence imposed addresses the seriousness of the offense, the sentencing objectives of punishment, deterrence, and incapacitation.

The Court finds the Defendant does not have the ability to pay a fine; therefore, no fine is imposed.

It is ordered that the Defendant pay a special assessment in the amount of \$100 on each count of conviction, 98-183, and Count One of 98-183 and Count Two of the same case number, for a total assessment of \$200, which shall be due immediately.

Mr. Malone, you have a right to appeal the sentence I have just imposed. If you desire to appeal, you must notify this Court within 10 days of today's date in writing of your intent

78 an attorney, an attorney will be appointed for you and the cost 1 2 of the appeal will be paid for by the Government. 3 If you have any objections to the sentence imposed or the findings of fact or conclusions of law made by the Court, you 4 5 should make those objections at this time or run the risk of waiving them on appeal. You will be given all objections that 7 your counsel has already filed in the case, but if you have any 8 in addition to that, you may file those at this time. MR. ARMSTRONG: Your Honor, the only thing we would 9 add is that we would respectfully submit to the Court that the 10 enhancement provisions for the purpose of appeal are 11 12 unconstitutional to preserve Mr. Malone's right to appeal that 13 to a higher court. 14 THE COURT: All right. Anything further, Mr. Malone? MR. ARMSTRONG: Your Honor, there's one point at 15 sidebar that we'd like to address. 16 THE COURT: All right. And I will at this time, I 17 18 understand you are filing a motion to be relieved of representing the Defendant from this time; is that correct? 19 MR. ARMSTRONG: Yes, sir, Your Honor. It was my 20

intention to request that Mr. Malone file notice of appeal and also a motion to withdraw.

21

22

23

THE COURT: What the Court will do is this: I will

having his right to appeal. And after that time, I will grant 1 your motion to be relieved of representation, and I will send 2 this file to the magistrate judge for the appointment of 3 another attorney unless Mr. Malone has an attorney that he's 4 going to employ. Do you have an attorney you're going to 5 employ? 7 DEFENDANT: I am, Your Honor. I don't --THE COURT: All right. Well, if you'll employ your 8 attorney, have him file an appearance, and we will have that 9 attorney appointed. Before we close, I'm going to give this to 10 the clerk, excerpts of the trial proceedings, my notes as to 11 the testimony that I relied upon among other portions that I 12 feel that the Defendant is required to get a two-point increase 13 for being untruthful on the stand. It will be made part of the 14 15 record for your appeal, Mr. Malone. All right. I'll see you at sidebar on any issues that you 16 have. Mary Frances, I'll need you. 17 (Sidebar conference, on the record, Defendant present, 18 Counsel present, and case agents.) 19 20 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Armstrong, before we go any further, I do want to thank you very much for your activity in 21 this case. I think you have handled it as an attorney at the 22 highest degree of competence and the highest degree of acting 23

job for him, And I thank you. 1 MR. ARMSTRONG: Thank you, Your Honor. 2 THE COURT: All right. I'll hear from you. 3 MR. ARMSTRONG: Your Honor, I'm not exactly positive 4 how to handle this, but Mr. Malone has previously testified 5 against other individuals, and we'd request the Court to 6 7 somehow instruct the Bureau of Prisons that Mr. Malone not be housed with these individuals. I do know some people's names. I don't know some people's names. The people's name I know is 9 an individual by the name of Bello, B-e-l-l-o, Garcia, 10 G-a-r-c-i-a, that is also known as Cuban Man; an individual by 11 the name of Steve Warren, an individual by the name of Carl 12 Morrissette, an individual by the name of Arthur Patterson. 13 14 There are a couple individuals by the name of Chris, last 15 name unknown, but also known as Block, B-1-o-c-k, and an individual by the name of Jim, last name unknown, who is a 16 partner of Chris', that Mr. Malone has informed me of other 17 officers who could give me those people's names. We have 18 19 attempted to communicate with them and have them call me back. Mr. Malone tells me today that he believes that Agent Don 20 Walton knows the name -- the last name of this Chris, also 21 known as Block, and Jim, and we would ask the Court to somehow 22 make arrangements that Mr. Malone not be housed with these 23

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

MS. GRIFFIN: Judge, we intend to file a request with the Bureau of Prisons with a list of separatees. Mr. Malone did not testify against Steve Warren. I prosecuted that case. Steve Warren entered a quilty plea. Mr. Garcia --THE COURT: Well, let me stop you. Did he know that he was going to testify against him? MS. GRIFFIN: I do not know. However, I only know of one trial that he testified in Federally, and we provided that transcript to Mr. Armstrong in discovery in this case. We will file a separatee as to that case. I don't mind filing it as to Steve Warren or Mr. Garcia, although he did not testify against either of them. I don't have any idea who Chris or Jim could possibly be. Christopher Murray, and he did not testify --Christopher Murray pleaded guilty. THE COURT: All right. Counsel, so you understand, the Defendant in this case has every right of any other Defendant who has -- you know that, I know that, we all know that. Mr. Malone should know it, even though he doesn't necessarily agree. What I'm telling you is this: If he was put -- if these

individuals, if he was going to testify against them, even

though they pled quilty, I would expect the Government to not

```
could have been aware of the fact that Mr. Malone would or
 1
   might testify against him.
 2
 3
             MS. GRIFFIN: Judge, as to any person that we gave any
 4
   statement or any indication to that Mr. Malone might, we will
 5
    confer with the agents.
             THE COURT: That's all I ask.
 6
 7
             MS. GRIFFIN: And Mr. Malone can provide those names.
 8
   I am not aware that that was done in many of these.
 9
   Mr. Malone feels otherwise, he can give that list right now to
   Mr. Armstrong and we will check them out. We'll also file
10
    under seal with this Court the request to Bureau of Prisons for
11
    separatees, and we will provide Mr. Armstrong a copy if he sees
12
13
    fit and he can provide it to his attorney -- I mean, to his
14
    client.
             THE COURT: All right.
                                     That's all I can ask.
15
             MR. ARMSTRONG: Do they know the last name of this
16
    Jim, partner of Chris'?
17
18
             DET. PAUL BURCH: He was never caught.
             MR. ARMSTRONG: One more question. This aka Batman,
19
    that was the nickname of Steve Warren or somebody else?
20
             DET. PAUL BURCH: Darryl something.
21
22
             MS. GRIFFIN: Darryl Thomas.
23
             MR. ARMSTRONG: Darryl Thomas would be another name we
```

```
MS. GRIFFIN: All right. Judge, I want to make sure I
1
   have Darryl Thomas, Steve Warren, Bello Garcia, also known as
2
   Cuban Man, Carl Morrissette, Arthur Patterson, Christopher
3
   Murray. And I'm taking the name Jim, last name unknown, off
4
   based on Mr. -- Off. Burch's representation that someone named
5
   Jim connected with --
6
7
             THE COURT: Never been.
             MS. GRIFFIN: -- was not arrested. Also, I'm going to
8
   add on that list every male who testified that is in custody
9
10
   against him in the trial before Your Honor and in the trial
11
   before Judge Butler. Of course, he's going to be separated
   from the females, so there's no need to put that list.
12
             THE COURT: All right. If you know of anyone else,
13
   you let them know and they will protect you. All right. You
14
   may not believe that, Mr. Malone, you don't believe anything,
15
   but that's what the Government is supposed to do.
16
17
             DEFENDANT: I believe some things.
18
             THE COURT: All right. Nothing further, we will be
   adjourned.
19
20
21
        (Proceedings concluded at 10:55 a.m. this date.)
22
23
```

1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	STATE OF ALABAMA)
4	COUNTY OF MOBILE)
5	
6	I do hereby certify that the above and foregoing transcript
7	of proceedings in the matter aforementioned was taken down by
8	me in machine shorthand, and the questions and answers thereto
9	were reduced to writing under my personal supervision, and that
10	the foregoing represents a true and correct transcript of the
11	proceedings upon said hearing.
12	·
13	I further certify that I am neither of counsel nor related
14	to the parties to the action, nor am I in anywise interested in
15	the result of said cause.
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	111 - 1 11:11 -
21	Mary Frances Giattina, RDR, CRR
22	Official Court Reporter U.S. District Court, SDAL
23	P.O. Box 3021