

Q	Scheme	Marks	AOs	Pearson Progression Step and Progress Descriptor																	
1a	H ₀ : There is no association between sport and gender	B1	1.2	4th Understand the principle of a goodness of fit test																	
		(1)																			
1b	$(3 - 1)(2 - 1) = 2$	B1	1.1b	5th Know how to find the number of degrees of freedom of the expected values																	
		(1)																			
1c	5.991	B1	1.2	6th Find critical values for a chi-squared test																	
		(1)																			
1d	$\frac{58 \times 39}{120} = 18.85$	B1	1.1b	5th Show frequencies by means of a contingency table																	
		(1)																			
1e	<table border="1" style="margin-left: auto; margin-right: auto;"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2" rowspan="2"></th> <th colspan="3">Sport</th> </tr> <tr> <th>Hockey</th> <th>Cricket</th> <th>Rugby</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <th rowspan="2">Gender</th> <th>Male</th> <td>20.78</td> <td>18.37</td> <td>18.85</td> </tr> <tr> <th>Female</th> <td>22.22</td> <td>19.63</td> <td>20.15</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>			Sport			Hockey	Cricket	Rugby	Gender	Male	20.78	18.37	18.85	Female	22.22	19.63	20.15	M1	2.1	5th Be able to calculate the chi-squared statistic
				Sport																	
			Hockey	Cricket	Rugby																
	Gender	Male	20.78	18.37	18.85																
Female		22.22	19.63	20.15																	
$\frac{(25 - 20.78)^2}{20.78} + \dots + \frac{(23 - 20.15)^2}{20.15} = 2.69$	M1 A1	1.1b 1.1b																			
	(3)																				

1f	2.69 < 5.991 so null hypothesis is not rejected.	B1	2.2b	7th Apply chi-squared tests in context and in unfamiliar situations
		(1)		
1g	Still not rejected since 2.69 < new critical value (4.605)	B1	2.4	7th Apply chi-squared tests in context and in unfamiliar situations
		(1)		
(9 marks)				
Notes				
1e	Expected values to 1 d.p. or better and awrt 2.7			
1f	Must show comparison between test statistic and critical value			
1g	Must state new critical value			

Q	Scheme	Marks	AOs	Pearson Progression Step and Progress Descriptor
2a	$x = 10.84$ $y = 1.01$	B1 B1	1.1b 1.1b	5th Show frequencies by means of a contingency table
		(2)		
2b	H_0 : The Poisson distribution is a suitable model H_1 : The Poisson distribution is not a suitable model	B1	3.4	6th Know how to apply the goodness of fit test to a Poisson distribution
	Cells are combined when expected frequencies < 5 so combine last 3 cells.	M1	2.1	
	$\frac{(9 - 9.04)^2}{9.04} + \dots + \frac{(9 - 10.12)^2}{10.12} = 0.248$	M1 A1	1.1b 1.1b	
	Degrees of freedom = $3 - 1 = 2$ Do not reject H_0 since $0.248 < 4.605$	B1	3.1b	
	The number of cars sold each day follows a Poisson distribution	A1	3.5a	
		(6)		

(8 marks)

Notes

- 2a** One mark for each number, accept 3 s.f. or better
- 2b** awrt 0.25 for test statistic
Conclusion must be in context for final **A1**

Q	Scheme	Marks	AOs	Pearson Progression Step and Progress Descriptor
3a	(Discrete) uniform distribution	B1	1.2	4th Understand the principle of a goodness of fit test
		(1)		
3b	H ₀ : The discrete uniform distribution is a suitable model H ₁ : The discrete uniform distribution is not a suitable model	B1	3.4	6th Know how to apply the goodness of fit test to a discrete uniform distribution
	$\frac{2^2 + 1^2 + 2^2 + 3^2 + 6^2}{16} = 3.375$	M1 A1	1.1b 1.1b	
	Degrees of freedom = 5 - 1 = 4	B1	3.1b	
	3.375 < 7.779	M1	1.1b	
	Do not reject H ₀ : The outcomes can be modelled using a discrete uniform distribution. The spinner is 'fair'.	A1	3.5a	
		(6)		
				(7 marks)
Notes				
3b	Conclusion must be in context for final A1			

Q	Scheme	Marks	AOs	Pearson Progression Step and Progress Descriptor														
4a	Expected values:	M1	3.4	6th Know how to apply the goodness of fit test to a binomial distribution														
	<table border="1" data-bbox="225 427 986 506"> <tr> <td data-bbox="225 427 435 506">Number of female kittens</td> <td data-bbox="435 427 528 506">0</td> <td data-bbox="528 427 622 506">1</td> <td data-bbox="622 427 715 506">2</td> <td data-bbox="715 427 809 506">3</td> <td data-bbox="809 427 901 506">4</td> <td data-bbox="901 427 986 506">5</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="225 506 435 580">Expected frequency</td> <td data-bbox="435 506 528 580">3.125</td> <td data-bbox="528 506 622 580">15.625</td> <td data-bbox="622 506 715 580">31.25</td> <td data-bbox="715 506 809 580">31.25</td> <td data-bbox="809 506 901 580">15.625</td> <td data-bbox="901 506 986 580">3.125</td> </tr> </table>	Number of female kittens	0		1	2	3	4	5	Expected frequency	3.125	15.625	31.25	31.25	15.625	3.125	A1	1.1b
	Number of female kittens	0	1		2	3	4	5										
	Expected frequency	3.125	15.625		31.25	31.25	15.625	3.125										
	Expected frequency	A1	1.1b															
	<p>H_0: Bin(5, 0.5) is a suitable model</p> <p>H_1: Bin(5, 0.5) is not a suitable model</p>	B1	2.5															
	<p>Combine first two and last two groups:</p> <p>Observed: 31, 13; Expected: 18.75 (for both)</p>	M1	2.1															
	$v = 4 - 1 = 3$	B1	1.1b															
	Critical value: 7.815	B1	1.1a															
<p>Test statistic:</p> $\frac{(31-18.75)^2}{18.75} + \dots + \frac{(13-18.75)^2}{18.75} = 15.63$	M1	1.1b																
<p>In critical region, sufficient evidence to reject H_0, accept H_1</p> <p>Significant evidence at 5% level to reject model.</p>	A1	3.5a																
	(10)																	

4b	New p value: $\frac{0 \times 12 + \dots + 5 \times 5}{500} = 0.414$	B1	3.3	7th Apply chi-squared tests in context and in unfamiliar situations														
	Expected values:	M1	3.4															
	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">Number of female kittens</td> <td style="text-align: center;">0</td> <td style="text-align: center;">1</td> <td style="text-align: center;">2</td> <td style="text-align: center;">3</td> <td style="text-align: center;">4</td> <td style="text-align: center;">5</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">Expected frequency</td> <td style="text-align: center;">6.91</td> <td style="text-align: center;">24.41</td> <td style="text-align: center;">34.49</td> <td style="text-align: center;">24.37</td> <td style="text-align: center;">8.61</td> <td style="text-align: center;">1.21</td> </tr> </table>	Number of female kittens	0		1	2	3	4	5	Expected frequency	6.91	24.41	34.49	24.37	8.61	1.21	A1	1.1b
	Number of female kittens	0	1		2	3	4	5										
	Expected frequency	6.91	24.41		34.49	24.37	8.61	1.21										
	H ₀ : Binomial is a suitable model H ₁ : Binomial is not a suitable model	B1	2.5															
	Combine last two groups: Observed: 13; Expected: 9.82	M1	2.1															
	$v = 5 - 1 - 1 = 3$	B1	1.1b															
Test statistic: $\frac{(12 - 9.61)^2}{9.61} + \dots + \frac{(13 - 9.82)^2}{9.82} = 7.34$	M1	1.1b																
Critical value: 7.815 Not in critical region, insufficient evidence to reject H ₀ No significant evidence at 5% level to reject binomial as a model, therefore binomial is a suitable model.	A1	3.5a																
	(8)																	

(18 marks)

Notes

- 4a** Award first **A1** for any four correct expected frequencies, listed or in table form. Award second **A1** for a complete list of correct expected frequencies.
- 4b** Incorrect p value should be followed through – can award 7 out of 8 if completely correct for their p
- 1st **A1**: All expected frequencies correct to 3 s.f. or better
- 2nd **A1**: Critical value for *their* v should be stated and conclusion consistent.