stanza #1

(introduction & commentary page 1)

Dr. Ed (on the length and 22 sections of the Psalmist Trail)

I hope you all enjoyed reading through Psalm 119 during the semester break. As you know, I like to compare it to the Appalachian Trial since it is so long. Yet, the Psalmist Trail is wonderfully even and easy to read, since it had 22 short stanzas of only eight verses each. The 14 states on the A.T. are far from equal. The section in Virginia is especially long. — Anyway, how did your reading go?

Frank (on starting and finishing a long-distance hike)

Well, frankly, the break wasn't long enough. So I ended up reading the last couple stanzas after returning to school. Finishing it yesterday reminded me of the day I finished the A.T. on August 5, 2017. What a great day that was!

The day I started the trail, 77 days earlier in May, was not so great. Beginning is tough. You quickly discover how weak you are, and the psalmist seemed to do so as well. Look at all the negative things that he said about himself in verses five, six, and eight. Frankly, it sounds to me like he was afraid of not finishing. Many people don't.

Joyce (on hope leading to joy)

Don't be so negative, Frank! You never would have had the joy of finishing the A.T. if you hadn't begun with hope. Starting wasn't all pain, was it? The first two verses of Psalm 119 sound hopeful to me, and I love verse seven which shows the psalmist's thankfulness. He knew that he had much to learn (7b), but he didn't let that stop him. It helped him get started. This is a course on biblical survival, but I'm looking forward to all

22 studies, because we know that the psalmist survived to the end and finished well.

Cal (on the perseverance of the saints)

Yes, of course, he did. The Lord was with him all the way, just like he is with us. We can go astray, slip, and fall into sin (5-6, 67, 176) to some extent, but God is near (151), even if we have doubts (82, 84). The saints persevere, because the Savior is perfect. It depends on HIM! Like Joyce said, it helps a lot to know that there will be a happy ending.

The Appalachian Trail
(A.T.) is 2,190 miles long

and somewhat like a linguistic transfer in the longest charter in the Bible peadming and somewhat like a linguistic transfer in the Bible peadming.

The discussion below was overheard during study #1 in the course on Psalm 119 and Biblical Survival at Quest Bible College, a fictional school. — The four-step W.A.L.K. method is used. Watching for data (W.), Analyzing themes (A.), Linking the passage (L.), in order to Know what to do (K.)

Wes (on the many who do not finish)

Yea, but what about all the hikers who never finish? I've heard that less than half of the would-be thru-hikers who start in Georgia make it as far as West Virginia. There were good reasons for the psalmist to be doubtful (5, 8b) as well as hopeful (1-2, 7). Is it God's fault that many hikers lack the strength and endurance to go all the way to

Maine? No, it depends on the individual. In *Pilgrim's Progress*, most of *Christian's* companions never made it to the Celestial City.

Evan (on the psalmist's initial commitment)

I believe you have to look at the decision that was made at the beginning. That's what count, and that's what I see in the first stanza. Like *Christian* in Bunyan's allegory, the psalmist was burdened by his sin as he looked at all the Lord's commands (5-6). Yet, he still committed himself to God and the journey (7-8). Sadly, he lacked assurance at first (8b), but that came with time as he got stronger in the faith along the way (28, 167-168).

Red (on similarities between Psalm 119 and Pilgrim's Progress)

I read through *The Pilgrim's Progress* as well as Psalm 119 during the break because hope is important in both. *Hopeful* was the only character that made it all the way with *Christian*. In contrast to him, *Ignorance* had a false hope that he could make it to the Celestial City through good works. He didn't. That is clear, but . . .

Psalm 119 is perplexing because it often sounds like the psalmist wrongly hoped that he could survive through good works. So how can we reconcile the psalmist's stress on obedience (1-2, 4, 8) and the fact that we can not save ourselves?

Dr. Ed (on the big difference between Psalm 119 and Pilgrim's Progress)

The simple answer is that Psalm 119 is about the survival of a believer as a servant of the Lord. It's about perseverance in ministry. [Compare 8b and 43a.] Unlike Bunyan's main character, the psalmist was a believer from the first line. He prayed canstantly and refered to himself as God's servant 14 times! Perhaps the psalmist's struggled with sin in Aleph (5-6, 8) is like Paul's struggle in Romans chapter seven. What do you think?

W	W	atching for the passage data
W-1	() Verses one and two show that biblical people are blessed by the Lord.
		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
W-2	() Verse three shows that some people do not sin (5, 1 John 3:4-9).
W-3	() The blessings in verses one and two are unconditional.
W-4	() To walk uprightly (1a) means to live biblically (2a).
W-5	() The psalmist is not in the first four verses.
W-6	() God's authority is stressed in verse four.
W-7	() The psalmist was afraid that he would lose his salvation (8b).
W-8	() The psalmist was afraid that he would lose his ministry (8, 43, 46).
W-9	() Oh that (5) is the only Aleph first-in-verse term that is a rare word.
W-10	() In Psalm 119, <i>keep</i> (#5341 & #8104) is a key term and means to obey.
W-11	() The psalmist promised that he would keep ALL of the Lord's statutes (8a).
W-12	() The psalmist knew that he was a sinner (5-6, 8b, 11, 59, 67, 101, 165, 167, 176).
W-13	() The psalmist loved God's word (2, 8, 10, 16, 24, 70, 97, 113, 119, 159, 163, 165, 167).
W-14	() The pronoun You in verse four is emphatic; the pronoun / in verses 6-8 is not.
W-15	() The psalmist said much about himself in Aleph.
W-16	() The psalmist was unstable (5-6, 67, 167-68, 176).
W-17	() The psalmist was humble (5, 8) and thankful (7, 62).
W-18	() The psalmist did not consider himself one of the blessed.
W-19	() God is totally righteous (4, 137), but the psalmist was not (5-6, 8).

A	Analyzing the passage themes
A-1	() The psalmist's inner struggle is stressed, not his outward situation.
A-2	() The psalmist's sinfulness is stressed, but he still had some hope (5-8).
A-3	() The stanza is one of the most negative (1-8, 9-16, 17-24, 33-40, 81-88).
A-4	() The stanza shows that obeying God's word is the way to true happiness.
A-5	() The Scriptures are stressed in Aleph, but the biblical details are missing.
A-6	() Although faith is not directly mentioned, the stanza is about living by faith.
L	Linking the passage
L-1	() Psalm 119:1-2 is much like Psalms 1:1, 32:1-2, and 112:1.
L-2	() Psalm 84:11-12 shows that it is believers who walk uprightly (119:1).
L-3	() Being blessed in 1-2 is roughly equivelent to having great peace in 165.
L-4	() Paul taught that we do no need to keep the law (Rom. 7:1-25, Gal. 3:1-29).
L-5	() The psalmist's state-of-mind in 119:5-8 was similar to Paul's in Rom. 7:24-25.
L-6	() Verse 2b sounds much like Deut. 4:29 and Jer. 29:13 which were for captives.
L-8	() The psalmist was like a committed (7–8) but weak (5, 11) long-distance (8) hiker.
K	Knowing what to do
K-1	() I should focus on the Scriptures more (1–2) and myself less (5).
K-2	() I should focus on God's Word rather than my circumstances (1-2, 19).
K-3	() I should seek to be a humble, biblical realist like the psalmist was (5-8).
K-4	() I should deal with my own sin (5, 8) before speaking about that of others (21).

Psalm 119:1-8

Dr. Ed (on thought-provoking lines that are only partly correct)

One of the main reasons we have such great discussions is because we use thought-provoking worksheets. There are always many correct line (W-1, W-4, W-6, W-13, A-1, L-3, K-2, etc.) and a few incorrect one (W-2, W-3, W-7), of course, but the lively back and forth is usually about points that should best be marked *partly-correct* with a triangle. This time, I marked six lines that way, and there are several more that are questionable. Which lines interested you by being partly right and partly wrong?

Theo (on the psalmist's promised obedience)

What about W-11? Strictly speaking, the psalmist did not promise to keep **all** of the Lord's statutes in verse eight, since the word **all** does not appear in the verse. So the line could be marked with an X as incorrect. However, the psalmist came close to promising that he would keep all the Lord's statutes. So I think he was making a fine theological distinction, but I suppose some might say that he and line W-11 are just tricky.



Dr. Ed (on the psalmist's attitude in verse five)

Biblical Survival at Quest Bible College, a fictional school in North America.

The discussion on this page was overheard during study #1 in the course on Psalm 119 and

Well, frankly, at first I thought K-3 was a no-brainer. Of course, I should humbly admit my instability (W-16) and imperfections like the psalmist did in verse five. He knew that

he was a sinner (W-12) and so do I, but then I noticed that line K-3 is not just about

verse five. It includes verse eight. So I began to wonder what it means to be a biblical realists. It must mean more than just admitting that I'm a sinner. — What does it mean,

True, but I did not write verses five through eight. What did the psalmist do in these verses? Did he simply admit his sin in a matter-of-fact way? No, there was passion in his words and a wish that things were different, just like there was when the Jewish servant girl told Naaman's wife about Elisha. The same rare term (#305) is used in 2 Kgs. 5:3 (W-9). — Now, what else did the psalmist do in 119:5-9 (W-5)?

Dr. Ed (on the psalmist's carefully-worded promise)

Personally, I too believe the psalmist deliberately omitted **all** from his promise in 8a, because he knew that it was impossible to perfectly obey God's word. Yet, he also wish to boldly declare his desire do so. I think this reveals the dual nature of God's servants. We should whole-heartedly commit ourselves to serve the Lord, yet we know that we will fail to do **all** that we should. Even Paul said that he delighted in the law inwardly but was unable to keep it outwardly without God's help (Rom. 7:21-25).

Pete (on Peter's carefully-worded love for Jesus)

Yes, and it wasn't just Paul! In John chapter 21, Peter sounds much the same. He truly loved the Lord, but he was unable to say that he loved Him perfectly. So two different Greek words are used in the passage. Though they are both translated love in English, there is a fine difference. Peter loved Jesus and said so. Yet, he did not do so using the stronger term. Was that double-talk? In a way, yes, but in another way, no.

Dr. Ed (a question about biblical realism)

Ok, strictly speaking line W-11 is wrong, but it may be partly correct. So what? In light of this, what do you think about line K-3, about needing to be a humble, biblical realist?

Dan (on the non-use of the Hebrew pronoun I)

Frank (on the need to define 'biblical realist')

Dr. Ed? You are the one who made the worksheet.

You might say that the psalmist talked about himself a lot in Aleph, since he was introspective (5). However, as one who grew up speaking Hebrew in Israel, I noticed something surprising. The psalmist did not use the independent personal pronoun, I. Since this emphatic term begins with Aleph, he could have used it as the first-in-verse term in all eight verses, but he didn't. The pronoun I in verses six through eight is simply part of the Hebrew verb and is not emphatic (W-14). So line W-15 is only partly correct.

Jim (on the psalmist's biblical hope)

You don't need to know Hebrew to see what's really important. The psalmist was counting on God's word to change him. It clearly says that in verses seven and eleven. That's why a biblical realist does not give up when his sinfulness is revealed. There is still hope in God (A-2).

Dr. Ed (on the use of English and Hebrew)

Thanks, Dan, for adding your special insight from the original Hebrew, and thank you, Jim, for reminding us that God wants all of us to read our Bibles and think. As in medicine, we should appreciate specialists but try not to become dependent upon them. So is knowing Hebrew helpful? The answer is yes and no, another triangle.



Psalm 119: 1-8

Aleph: Approaching the Trail commentary page 3

Aleph stanza #1

Dr. Ed (on various lines that may be only partly correct)

There are several more lines that might be partly correct. What do you think of W-18, W-19, A-3, A-6, L-1, L-4, L-5, and K-1? Some are fairly easy, but all of them are interesting and a bit controversial. Please try to be practical in your comments.

Jim (on applications: reading and repenting)

I'm all for that. So how about the first application line, K-1? Of course, we need to focus on the Scriptures more and read the Bible that God gave us. As for thinking about ourselves less, that is tricky, but the psalmist showed us how to do it properly. First, we need to think about our own sins before we start speak about the sins of others (K-4). Second, we need to think about our behavior in order to repent of wrong doing, but not so much that we become mostly focused on ourselves in a negative way and forget God. Repentance? Yes! Long-term dejection? No!

Red (on thankfulness in Psa. 119:7 and Rom 7:25)

Did anyone read what Paul wrote immediately after describing himself so negatively in Rom. 7:21–24? He thanked and praised God in the first line in Rom. 7:25. This is somewhat like what the psalmist did in 119:7.

Romans 7:25

I thank God through Jesus Christ our Lord. So then with the mind

I myself serve the law of God; but with the flesh the law of sin.

However, Paul could speak about God's deliverance from sin much more fully than the psalmist. The Messiah had come (Rom. 7:25a). Yet, most of Paul's greater thankfulness is expressed in Romans chapter eight. Also the commentaries that I read say that Paul was mostly speaking about his struggles with sin and the law in Rom. 7:7-25. So I finally decided that line L-5 is probably correct.

Dr. Ed (on victory over personal sin)

Yes, commentators have various things to say about Paul in Romans chapter seven, but for sure there are solid reasons for us to feel more secure today than the psalmist did (W-8). Therefore we can serve the Lord better. The psalmist struggled because it was an earlier age, but he was still confident that God's word would help him overcome personal sin (7, 11), and it did. Suffering helped as well (67), but that's a different topic.

The discussion on this page was overheard during study #1 in the course on Psalm 119 and Biblical Survival at Quest Bible College, a fictional school in North America.

Theo (on the keeping of the law)

Nobody seems to want to talk about the big theological line, L-4. Did Paul teach that we do not need to keep God's law? Paul's inner struggle to live a holy life in Romans chapter seven and the New Testament in general shows that believers should endeavor to live holy lives, which is equivalent to keeping God's moral law without the superficial details which are never mentioned in Psalm 119. In addition, Galatians chapter three shows that there is no need to keep the law because salvation is through faith in the work of Christ. So from one perspective line L-4 is sound doctrine, but from another it is heresy.

Dr. Ed (on salvation and happiness)

Thanks, Theo. We can't be saved by keeping the law, but Paul and the psalmist both show that happiness in life is closely related to how we live (A-4). Therefore Aleph is quite negative because of the psalmist's concern for the destructive nature of sin (A-1, A-3).

Red (on God's promise to captives)

I agree, but we're missing something important. Psalm 119 was not written for priestly theologians in Jerusalem. Just read it and see! The temple and sacrifices are never mentioned. Also from reading 119:2 and comparing it with Jer. 29:13 and Deut. 4:29 (L-6),

Deut. 4:29

But if from thence thou shalt seek the LORD thy God, thou shalt find him, if thou seek him with all thy heart and with all thy soul.

I'm convinced that the Psalm was written for captives in Babylon. Verses like these on seeking (#1875) the Lord with the whole (#3605) heart (#3820) are rare otherwise.

Dan (on Jewish education and the Messiah)

Yes, and in captivity the Jews needed a way to teach their children to love God's word in Hebrew. As an acrostic, Psalm 119 was a great tool, and biblical education and memorizing are stressed (7, 9-11). Today, Israel is in the land, but there is still no temple. I believe this is God's way of encouraging us to read more and think about the Messiah.

Dr. Ed (on applications: being more thankful)

Yes, and remember that although the psalmist was a godly man he struggled because the Messiah had not yet come. We can be more positive now than he was (7-8, K-3).

© 2020 by Jon Mahar, Hakusan City, Japan and Alexander, Maine, U.S.A.