The use of 18F-FDG PET/CT as an initial staging procedure for stage II/III breast cancer reduces false positives, costs, and time to treatment: a multicenter value analysis in the I-SPY2 trial
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INTRODUCTION

Diagnostic staging imaging (SI) for asymptomatic stage I-II patients (pts) is not routinely recommended, but is warranted for stage II-III pts with high risk biological subtypes, where previous trials have shown up to a 15% rate of de novo metastatic disease. NCCN guidelines endorse CT CAP and bone scan as standard SI (STD) for stage III pts, but not PET/CT, and PET/CT is not covered by many insurance payers. We present data on the performance and value of PET/CT.

OBJECTIVES

1. Compare staging practices at four cancer centers in the United States (UCSF, UMinn, UAB, and Georgetown).
2. Determine the rate of de novo metastatic disease and compare the rate of false positives (FP), defined as incidental findings on SI proven benign by subsequent workup, between STD and PET/CT.
3. Determine the value and cost-effectiveness of STD vs. PET/CT.

METHODS

• Data were available for 799 high risk clinical stage II-III pts screened for I-SPY2 at UCSF, UMinn, UAB, and Georgetown.
• Of these, 564 pts ranging in age from 25-81 (median = 48) had complete records that were retrospectively reviewed for type of SI and FP.
• Economic evaluation conducted from the payer perspective using the mean national 2018 Medicare Physician Fee Schedule (MPFS) and representative costs from the UCSF billing department.
• Healthcare Common Procedure Coding System/Current Procedural Terminology codes (HCPCS/CPT) was utilized to derive outpatient and physician fees. Costs were rounded to the nearest dollar.
• The incremental cost effectiveness ratio (ICER) measured the cost of using PET/CT per percent pt who avoided a FP.

RESULTS

Figure 1. Staging practice varied significantly among the four cancer centers (p < 0.0001). STD was used for most pts at UAB (92.8%) and Georgetown (85.7%). PET/CT was used for most pts at UCSF (96.6%) and UMinn (63.6%). Chest X-ray was used for 29.5% of pts at UMinn.

Table 1. Standard and PET/CT scans detected de novo metastatic disease in the trial population. Of the 48% 4.8% true positives detected, 2.5% were detected by STD and 2.5% by PET/CT.

Table 2. PET/CT scans generated significantly fewer FPs than STD. 22.1% of pts who received STD imaging had a FP, compared to 11.1% of pts who received PET/CT imaging. Mean time between incidental finding on SI to determination of FP was 10.6 days. Of note, 11.3% (26/231) of STD pts received PET/CT as required FP work-up.

Table 3. Time to initiation of treatment was shorter for those who received PET/CT. When controlling for cancer site difference, the mean time between cancer diagnosis to initiation of neoadjuvant chemotherapy was significantly different between pts who received STD vs. PET/CT imaging upfront.

Table 4. PET/CT scans are likely cost-effective with an ICER of $31 per percent pt who avoided a FP. Mean increase from baseline price due to FP work-up was $216 (23.6%) for STD vs. $64 (4.6%) for PET/CT. Costs determined from the MPFS.

Table 5. Initial staging with PET/CT is cost saving at UCSF, where price of PET/CT < STD. Cost savings were $10 (Medicare payment perspective) and $95 (private payer perspective) per percent pt who avoided a FP. Costs determined from the UCSF billing department.

CONCLUSIONS

1. Initial staging with PET/CT resulted in two-fold fewer false positives.
2. PET/CT reduced the direct costs of FP workup procedures that took a mean time of 10.8 days to a few minutes.
3. Patients who received PET/CT upfront started treatment sooner.
4. PET/CT is likely cost-effective from both Medicare and private payer perspectives.
5. Hospitals should promote patient-centered approach to metastatic imaging workup and price PET/CT < STD.
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