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APPROACH

This Data Protection Impact Assessment (DPIA) was conducted by Simprints Technology Limited (Simprints) for its data processing activities as an impact partner of LSTM-MLW for the PROSPECT study in Blantyre, Malawi. This DPIA was conducted retrospectively, after the start of the project, in order to include newly-implemented measures to ensure GDPR compliance, as the project began before GDPR came into effect.

This DPIA only covers the data processing activities of Simprints, an independent data controller, and any data processors acting on behalf of Simprints. It does not extend to any data controllers in common nor to any data processors acting on behalf of other data controllers in common.

The methodology used to conduct this DPIA is based on the guidance contained in Article 35, Recital 75, and Recital 90 of the EU’s General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR)\(^1\); the WP29 Guidelines on DPIA\(^2\); the UK Information Commissioner’s Office (ICO) website\(^3\); the DPC’s Draft list of types of Data Processing Operations which require a DPIA\(^4\); and CNIL’s Privacy Impact Assessment Methodology\(^5\). This DPIA also draws upon risk assessment concepts from the CNIL’s Methodology for Privacy Risk Management\(^6\), ISO/IEC 27001 standards on Information Security Management Systems\(^7\), ISO 31000 standards on Risk Management\(^8\), and NIST’s Risk Management Guide for Information Technology Systems\(^9\).

External privacy experts, human rights lawyers, and data security specialists were also consulted in the development of Simprints’ DPIA template. All risk mitigation measures have been reviewed and approved by Simprints’ acting Data Protection Officer (DPO), Sebastian Manhart, who will also review and reassess this DPIA on a regular basis.

---

\(^1\) https://gdpr-info.eu/
\(^2\) http://ec.europa.eu/newsroom/article29/item-detail.cfm?item_id=611236
\(^4\) https://www.dataprotection.ie/docimages/documents/DPIA%20DPC.pdf
\(^7\) https://www.iso.org/isoiec-27001-information-security.html
\(^8\) https://www.iso.org/iso-31000-risk-management.html
**CONTEXT**

Simprints conducts a DPIA for each of its projects due to the scope of its data processing activities. As a UK-based company, Simprints’ data processing activities must adhere to the GDPR and is regulated by the ICO. The GDPR in relation to Simprints’ processing of biometric, and therefore sensitive, data is especially interesting for a number of reasons. First, it has extraterritorial reach, meaning it applies to EU-registered companies (like us) that process personal data of individuals who are outside the EU (all our beneficiaries), irrespective of whether or not those data enter the EU. Second, it is already seen as the gold standard beyond the EU, with countries such as Japan looking to adopt similar legislation. Third, it is strongly enforceable as it is backed by a strong UK ICO, as well as ICOs across Europe. Finally, it is the most advanced and ambitious regulation of its kind and a huge victory for privacy.

The GDPR classifies biometric data as ‘special category data’ when it is processed ‘for the purpose of uniquely identifying a natural person’ (Article 9)\(^\text{10}\). As a nonprofit technology company whose mission is to build technology that helps solve global development challenges, Simprints has developed a biometric solution for the 1.1 billion people around the world who lack formal identification and the even greater number of people who lack functional identities for accessing essential services. Accordingly, Simprints processes special category data in all of its projects to help its impact partners deliver and evaluate programmes more effectively and efficiently.

**Data Governance and Accountability Overview**

| Data Controller | Organisation: Simprints Technology Limited  
| Location: United Kingdom |
| Project Overview | Name of project: LSTM-MLW PROSPECT study  
| Location: Malawi  
| Impact partners: LSTM-MLW  
| Project period: 16 September 2017 - 14 May 2019 |
| Stakeholders | Data processor: Google (USA/Global)  
| Data controller in common: LSTM-MLW |
| Data Overview | Types of data  
| (A) Special category: biometric templates  
| (B) Personal, pseudonymised: Globally Unique Identifiers (GUIDs)  
| (C) Personal, non-pseudonymised: geolocation, mobile phone numbers  
| Data volume: 48,000 patients  
| Data subjects: Patients attending Bangwe Clinic  
| Data retention: Up to 2 years after the project end date |
| Data Flows | Supporting technologies: Vero fingerprint scanner, Samsung J5s, Simprints ID, Google Cloud Platform, Google Firebase  
| Third parties with data access: Google, LSTM-MLW  
| International transfers: USA, Google’s global data centers\(^\text{11}\) |

\(^\text{10}\) [https://gdpr-info.eu/art-9-gdpr/](https://gdpr-info.eu/art-9-gdpr/)  
\(^\text{11}\) [https://www.google.com/about/datacenters/inside/locations/index.html](https://www.google.com/about/datacenters/inside/locations/index.html)
Purpose and Description of Processing Activities

Biometric (fingerprint) data is collected and processed to enrol and accurately identify participants in projects and programmes. For the LSTM-MLW PROSPECT study, Simprints’ biometric solution helps accurately address whether a rapid diagnostic test for tuberculosis (TB) improves the health outcomes of the patients attending Bangwe Clinic. Research assistants will use Vero scanners to enrol patients entering the clinic; identify participants before they receive interventions; and, identify participants attending the clinic for their 8-week follow-up visit. The project aims to enrol up to 48,000 patients, 1,500 of whom will be regularly identified throughout the course of the trial. Biometric registration and identification help ensure the accuracy of the study, which is critical when measuring results of specific interventions against the status quo. Since biometric data is classified as ‘special category data’ under the GDPR, Simprints must have a lawful basis under both Article 6 and a condition under Article 9 for its processing activities. Simprints’ lawful basis is explicit consent under both Articles.

During enrolment, biometric data is collected from patients by mobile operators using a smartphone, a fingerprint scanner, and Simprints ID. Simprints ID collects only biometric data – in the form of pseudonymised ISO/IEC 19749-2 fingerprint templates – in support of the principle of data minimisation. All other personal data collected for the project, such as names and dates of birth, are collected in CommCare and processed by Dimagi on behalf of LSTM-MLW.

For each set of biometric templates collected from a data subject, a GUID is generated by the Simprints ID mobile application and passed to CommCare. The GUID is used to link the biometric templates stored in Simprints ID with the personal data stored in CommCare. GUIDs are considered personal, pseudonymised data and are processed by Simprints under the ‘legitimate interests’ lawful basis. Specifically, Simprints generates and processes GUIDs so that biometric data and non-pseudonymised personal data can be siloed in separate databases. This is a deliberate security feature designed to prevent biometric data from being easily and directly used to identify individuals.

During identification, biometric data is collected from patients by research assistants using a smartphone, a fingerprint scanner, and two mobile software applications – CommCare and Simprints ID. The research assistant first uses CommCare to collect personal and medical information, then uses the scanner to enrol and identify the patients.

Enrolment and identification of patients with biometric data can be done completely offline on the smartphone. However, to enable matching of biometric templates across multiple research assistants using different smartphones, biometric data and GUIDs are also synced to Simprints’ cloud platform.

Simprints also collects GPS coordinates and mobile phone numbers to help improve its services. GPS coordinates are used to detect if any errors are due to a problem with our matching algorithm or might be related to misuse or fraud, and mobile phone numbers are collected to assist in identification. We use consent as our lawful basis for processing geolocation data.

Please see Annex A for data flow diagrams.

---

12 https://www.iso.org/standard/50864.html
INHERENT RISK ASSESSMENT


1. Illegitimate access,
2. Unwanted modification,
3. Accidental loss, and
4. Unlawful destruction.

These threats, if they occur, present a risk of harm to the participant. A risk assessment considers both the \textit{severity} and \textit{likelihood} of any risks.

Risk Severity

A DPIA is required for any data processing activities that are 'likely to result in a high risk to the rights and freedoms of natural persons', including the 'processing on a large scale of special categories of data' (GDPR Article 35)\footnote{\url{https://gdpr-info.eu/art-35-gdpr/}}. To provide more concrete guidance, the Article 29 Data Protection Working Party outlined nine data processing criteria that are likely to constitute 'high risk'\footnote{\url{https://www.enisa.europa.eu/publications/guidelines-for-smes-on-the-security-of-personal-data-processing/at_download/fullReport}}:

1. Evaluation or scoring,
2. Automated-decision making with legal or similar significant effect,
3. Systematic monitoring,
4. \textbf{Sensitive data or data of a highly personal nature},
5. \textbf{Data processed on a large scale},
6. Matching or combining datasets,
7. \textbf{Data concerning vulnerable data subjects},
8. Innovative use or applying new technological or organisational solutions, and
9. Prevention of data subject from exercising a right.

Simprints’ data processing activities meet three of these ‘high risk’ criteria: sensitive data or data of a highly personal nature, data processed on a large scale, and data concerning vulnerable data subjects.

- \textbf{Sensitive data}: Because biometric data is unique to each individual and immutable, it is classified as ‘highly personal’ and sensitive data.
- \textbf{Large-scale processing}: Simprints is expected to process biometric data of 48,000 people for this project.
- \textbf{Vulnerable data subjects}: Privacy and data protection laws are largely absent or inadequate in Simprints’ countries of operation. Furthermore, public awareness of privacy rights and data protection responsibilities is generally low. Although Simprints strives to raise awareness about individual privacy rights among its participants, we recognise that there may remain a power imbalance between the data subjects and our organisation as a data controller.

Based on these criteria, Simprints’ processing of biometric data may result in high risk to the patients. Illegitimate access poses a high risk because imposters could misuse the data to access resources that are intended for the study participants, thereby denying the patients’ rightful access to the resources of the study and contaminating the study data.
Simprints’ processing of GUIDs is also considered high risk because they can be used to link biometric templates to personal data stored in a separate database. The processing of GPS coordinates is considered low risk as there is limited risk of harm to participants if geolocation data were inappropriately accessed, modified, lost, or destroyed.

**Likelihood of Risk**

While the potential impact of a threat is high, there is a low likelihood of risk with Simprints’ data processing activities.

Firstly, all data are encrypted during processing operations, including collection, transfer, and storage. We ensure the security of all processing using 128-bit encryption between the scanner and the smartphone, and SSL/TLS encryption between the smartphone and the cloud platform we use, Google Cloud Platform. The fingerprint is stored in an AES 256 database while it is on the smartphone, and we use Google’s Attestation framework to validate that devices are secure (not rooted) before allowing data storage.

Simprints’ API endpoint, which receives information from the partner data collection app, validates the security of the device and Simprints ID using Google’s new Safetynet services. This means that only Simprints ID can access our endpoint to validate an API Key, and that rooted or compromised devices will not be able to sign in. With Simprints’ robust security measures in place, the likelihood of a threat (illegitimate access, unwanted modification, accidental loss, or unlawful destruction) occurring is low.

Moreover, even if a threat were to occur, the likelihood of risk – i.e. harm to the recipients – remains low. Biometric data, which are the only sensitive, ‘highly personal’ data processed by Simprints, are pseudonymised to prevent direct identification of individuals if accessed illegitimately. Pseudonymisation is the process of transforming personally identifiable information ‘in such a way that the data can no longer be attributed to a specific data subject without the use of additional information’ (GDPR Article 3). In our case, Simprints’ scanner converts fingerprint images into secure ISO templates (which cannot be reverse engineered into the original fingerprint images), then it immediately discards the images.
Fingerprint images are never saved, and templates alone are strings of numbers which pose limited risk of misuse. Modification, loss, or destruction of biometric templates could temporarily prevent access to services or systems, but they can be rectified or replaced due to the immutable quality of fingerprints.

GUIDs are also pseudonymised and have no value on their own if accessed illegitimately. The only potential misuse of GUIDs is the linking of biometric templates to individual identities. However, because Simprints does not collect, store, or otherwise process data that can be used to directly identify an individual, GUIDs can only be used to link biometric templates to individual identities if the separate CommCare database containing GUIDs and personal data are hacked at the same time. The likelihood of a bad actor being able to get past Simprints’ and Dimagi’s data security systems is low. Furthermore, an isolated breach of Dimagi’s cyber defenses would not expose any Simprints-acquired biometric data.

Modification, loss, or destruction of GUIDs could prevent the Simprints and CommCare databases from ‘talking’ to one another and temporarily affect participants’ access to services or systems. However, new GUIDs could be generated and used to re-link the two databases.

**Overall Risk**

Overall, the low likelihood of risk and the high severity of risk involved in Simprints’ data processing activities result in an overall **inherent risk** rating of ‘medium’. Simprints takes many risk mitigation measures to ensure GDPR compliance and minimise the **residual risk** of its data processing activities.
COMPLIANCE AND RISK MITIGATION MEASURES

Simprints takes privacy and data protection extremely seriously\(^{16,17}\). We’ve adopted a ‘privacy by design and default’ approach to product development and systems engineering and employ best-practice standards in data security. The compliance and risk mitigation measures adopted by Simprints follow the GDPR’s principles of data processing (Article 5)\(^{18}\), provision of individual rights (Chapter 8)\(^{19}\), and guidance on international transfers of data (Chapter 5)\(^{20}\). Since Simprints uses explicit consent as its lawful basis for processing biometric and geolocation data, we also adhere to the GDPR’s very high standards for consent.

Principles of Data Processing

The GDPR specifies 7 principles of data processing, which are paraphrased below, along with a brief description of Simprints’ efforts to uphold each principle in practice.

1. **Lawfulness, fairness, and transparency.** Simprints has identified appropriate lawful bases for processing of personal data, specifically explicit consent for biometric and geolocation data and legitimate interests for GUIDs. We are honest about the data we collect and we handle people’s data fairly. We also make comprehensive privacy notices available to ensure patients are properly informed and have provided LSTM-MLW with community sensitisation materials that explain individual privacy rights, including the right to withhold or withdraw consent.

2. **Purpose limitation.** We have a clear purpose for processing data and it is documented in this DPIA and in our project-specific privacy notices.

3. **Data minimisation.** We collect only biometric templates – not images – from patients, which is the minimum amount needed to enrol and identify them as participants in the project. We generate GUIDs specifically for the purpose of data minimisation, so that no other personally identifiable data need to be processed by Simprints. Geolocation data is collected to help Simprints improve its services, i.e. to detect if any errors are due to a problem with our matching algorithm or might be related to misuse or fraud. No other personal data is processed by Simprints.

4. **Accuracy.** Simprints’ fingerprint scanner and software were designed specifically for ‘last mile’ contexts and was found to be 228% more accurate using open-source matchers than other comparable systems on people with worn, scarred, or damaged fingerprints. The types of data processed by Simprints (fingerprint templates, GUIDs, and GPS coordinates) allow little to no room for human error.

5. **Storage limitation.** Simprints has a standard policy of retaining data for a maximum of two years after a project’s end date. We inform patients of this at the time of data collection and also have procedures in place for honouring individual requests for erasure before the retention period has passed.

6. **Integrity and confidentiality.** We take a ‘privacy by design and default’ approach as described above. All our data are encrypted and sensitive data are pseudonymised. Only select employees are authorised by the Chief Technology Officer to have access to the biometric data, in support of the ‘principle of least privilege.’ Access to the data is controlled on the project level with the OAuth 2.0 security standard. In the unlikely event that a single project’s security credentials are compromised, this does not compromise other project data or access rights.

7. **Accountability.** Simprints documents its data processing activities with internal data audit and data inventory tools. We are in the process of recruiting a DPO who will be registered with the

---


\(^{18}\) https://gdpr-info.eu/art-5-gdpr/

\(^{19}\) https://gdpr-info.eu/chapter-3/

\(^{20}\) https://gdpr-info.eu/chapter-5/
Individual Rights

Implicit in the GDPR is the idea that ownership of personal data remains with the data subject (e.g. the patient), even if they’re processed or ‘controlled’ by a data controller (e.g. Simprints). Accordingly, the GDPR describes 8 individual privacy rights that Simprints complies with and advocates wherever relevant and technically feasible.

1. **Right to be informed.** Simprints provides patients with information about the purpose, nature, and scope of processing at the time of data collection. We use a layered approach to avoid being burdensome, providing only essential information in a short consent text and more comprehensive information in a detailed privacy notice. We worked with LSTM-MLW to translate the information into Chichewa, the local language to ensure it is clear, concise, and easy to understand.

2. **Right of access.** We believe that pseudonymised biometric data, geolocation data, and GUIDs have no functional value to patients as they are simply strings of letters and numbers. Instead, the rights to object and to erasure would be more relevant in our project contexts. Therefore, we have not yet established a mechanism for patients to exercise their right to access the data we process. We will revisit this decision if we receive any requests from patients to access their data.

3. **Right to rectification.** Geolocation data and GUIDs are not eligible for rectification. Biometric data can be re-collected from a patient if requested and linked to the original GUID to replace or ‘rectify’ the original set of biometric templates.

4. **Right to erasure.** Simprints will honour any requests for data erasure within a month of receiving the request. We can delete data directly from our databases and will inform other stakeholders who have access to the data of the erasure request.

5. **Right to restrict processing.** The right to restrict processing does not apply in all circumstances, and we do not foresee any circumstances in which a patient would exercise their right to restrict processing instead of their rights to object and to erasure. Therefore, we have not established a mechanism for patient to exercise their right to restrict processing.

6. **Right to data portability.** Simprints stores biometric data in an internationally-recognised ISO/IEC standard format in order to promote interoperability with other systems. If we receive a verified request from the data owner to transfer the data to another stakeholder, we will share the biometric data in a structured, commonly used and machine readable format (JSON). The right to data portability is limited to data collected from the patient, and therefore does not extend to GUIDs or geolocation data.

7. **Right to object.** We rely on explicit consent for the processing of biometric and geolocation data. Patient may withhold consent and we require our impact partners to offer an alternative form of enrolment and identification to ensure that patients are not denied access to services if they withhold consent or object to Simprints processing their personal data.

8. **Rights related to automated decision making, including profiling.** Simprints’ data processing activities do not involve decision making that is based solely on automated processing. While Simprints ID uses a matching algorithm to identify individuals by their fingerprints, the decision of whether or not to grant access to services is ultimately made by the research assistant.

International Transfers of Data

Some of our partners, service providers, and technology vendors may pass information outside of the EEA into jurisdictions where privacy laws, obligations, and rights may vary. For such transfers, we put assurance checks and measures in place to protect individuals’ privacy. We maintain records of where all personal data is and how it is protected. These provisions exceed the regulatory requirements in all of the countries we work in, where often standards are nascent or non-existent.
Simprints uploads data to Google Firebase, which hosts data in the United States, and Google Cloud Platform, which hosts data in many locations around the world\(^{21}\), including Europe, North America, South America, and Asia. Google Firebase and Google Cloud Platform have been certified\(^{22}\) as compliant with the EU-U.S. Privacy Shield Framework and Swiss-U.S. Privacy Shield Framework for transfer of data to the United States. For its international data transfers to the rest of the world, Google Cloud Platform has agreements and safeguards in place as a data processor of Simprints:

> ‘European Union Data Protection Authorities have confirmed that Google Cloud’s EU Model Contract Clauses fully meet the requirements to legally frame transfers of data from the EU to the rest of the world, in accordance with EU Data Protection Directive 95/46/EC...In practice, this compliance finding enables our customers in most EU countries to rely on Google Cloud EU Model Contract Clauses for the international transfer of data without further authorizations, and simplifies the processing of national authorizations in other countries, where required.\(^{23}\)

Simprints also shares GUIDs with LSTM-MLW, whose data processor, CommCare, transfers and stores the data in the United States. The transfer of data to the United States is covered by the EU’s adoption of an adequacy decision\(^{24}\), i.e. that the United States offers an adequate level of protection.

**Consent**

The GDPR defines valid consent as ‘freely given, specific, informed, and...[indicated by] clear, affirmative action’ (Article 4)\(^{25}\). Simprints’ processing activities for this project began before GDPR came into effect and our consent process at the time did not fully meet the requirements of the GDPR, but we have since made efforts to ensure that the consent process meets the high standards set by the GDPR. This DPIA describes the current, GDPR-compliant process, but we highlight the risks that were present at the start of the project, and the actions we’ve taken since, in the Residual Risks and Recommendations section.

We use a layered approach to avoid overburdening the patients and worked with LSTM-MLW to translate the consent text and privacy notice into Chichewa. At the time of data collection, the research assistant reads a short consent text which includes the following information:

- Type of personal data being collected (i.e. fingerprint and geolocation data)
- Purpose of processing
- Who will have access to the data
- Right to object and right to erasure
- Prompt to opt-in

If the patient has additional questions, the research assistant will then read the comprehensive privacy notice. In addition to the information contained in the short consent text, the privacy notice also provides the following information:

- Explanation of the fingerprinting procedure
- GUID generation, storage, and sharing
- International transfer of data and data protection mechanisms
- Data retention period
- Promise that data will not be shared with the government
- Right to withhold consent

---

\(^{21}\) https://www.google.com/about/datacenters/inside/locations/index.html
\(^{22}\) https://www.privacyshield.gov/participant?id=a2zt000000001L5AAI
\(^{23}\) https://services.google.com/fh/files/misc/google_cloud_data_transfer_wp.pdf
\(^{25}\) https://gdpr-info.eu/art-4-gdpr/
- Process for requesting erasure of data
- Simprints’ contact information

It is important to note that we do ‘bundle’ certain terms of consent, which may call into question the ‘freely given’ aspect of consent. Firstly, we ask patients to consent to both Simprints and our partners having access to GUIDs, rather than choosing which data controller (if not both) may have access to the data. This decision was made because data that is restricted only to Simprints would invalidate the purpose of data processing; without the sharing of GUIDs with LSTM-MLW, the biometric templates would not be able to be matched to individuals. Likewise, it would be impossible to facilitate data access for our partners if Simprints were not granted access to the data ourselves.

Secondly, as PROSPECT is a study that relies on biometric identification to mitigate against data contamination, consent for the study and biometrics are bundled. Patients that refuse to give their biometrics are not able to participate in the study. This means they forego the opportunity to receive study-specific services and the $10 compensation offered in acknowledgement of the additional burden placed (e.g. travelling to/from the clinic, giving home address and other personal information). However, it is important to note that they are not denied any essential health care services provided by the clinic.

Summary

The GDPR is arguably the broadest and most rigorous data protection law in the world. At Simprints, we are proud to demonstrate how GDPR can be applied by the biometrics and international development industries to advance privacy for people around the world.

The GDPR compliance and risk mitigation measures we’ve taken reduce the inherent risk severity from high to medium. The likelihood of risk was originally low and remains low. Therefore, the overall residual risk is low to medium. We describe Simprints’ ongoing initiatives to further mitigate residual risks in the next section.
RESIDUAL RISKS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The GDPR applies to organisations operating within the European Union as well as to global organisations that offer goods and services to people in the EU. Its guidance is intentionally broad as it must be appropriate for all sectors that collect personal data, from small businesses to multinational corporations. As a result, many of the GDPR’s components are vaguely-defined and subject to interpretation.

As a UK-based nonprofit social enterprise that works predominantly in developing countries, Simprints does not fit the typical profile of the types of companies that the GDPR was designed for. Some of the GDPR’s standards are difficult and, at times, impractical to apply to Simprints’ project contexts. Yet, we regularly go above and beyond the privacy practices established in the biometrics and international development industries, taking great care to emphasise privacy and data protection with our partners and project participants.26 For example, we insist on being data controllers to enable the siloing of biometric data from other personally identifiable data in an effort to safeguard our participants’ identities.

However, Simprints’ involvement in the LSTM-MLW began in September 2017, before the GDPR went into effect. Accordingly, some of our data processing activities were not yet compliant with GDPR requirements. Prior risks that have already been mitigated and residual risks of Simprints’ data processing activities are outlined below along with recommended actions and priority status.

A priority status of ‘high’ indicates that Simprints is actively taking steps to implement the recommended action within a quarter. A priority status of ‘medium’ indicates that Simprints is planning to implement the recommended action within one or two quarters. A priority status of ‘low’ indicates that Simprints is considering implementing the recommended action and may build it into activities in future quarters.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prior Risk</th>
<th>Recommended Action</th>
<th>Date Completed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>We did not explicitly inform patients of their right to withdraw consent at the time of data collection.</td>
<td>Amend the consent text to explicitly inform patients of this right and explain the process for exercising the right. Provide guidance to partners on training research assistants on the updated consent process.</td>
<td>31 Aug 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>We did not explicitly inform patients of their right to erasure at the time of data collection.</td>
<td>Amend the consent text to explicitly inform patients of this right and explain the process for exercising the right. Provide guidance to partners on training research assistants on the updated consent process.</td>
<td>31 Aug 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>We did not explain that LSTM-MLW would have access to GUIDs.</td>
<td>Amend the privacy notice to inform patients of LSTM-MLW’s access to GUIDs. Provide guidance to partners on training research assistants on the updated consent process.</td>
<td>31 Aug 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>We did not provide contact information of Simprints in the privacy notice.</td>
<td>Amend the privacy notice to include Simprints’ contact information. Provide guidance to partners on training research assistants on the</td>
<td>31 Aug 2018</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Residual Risk</th>
<th>Recommended Action</th>
<th>Priority</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>We do not inform patients of their right to access data or have a mechanism in place to provide data access.</td>
<td>None. As explained in the Individual Rights section above, we believe this is low risk in our project contexts and accept this risk. We will reconsider this if we receive a request for data access.</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients may not be aware of their right to withdraw consent at the time of data collection.</td>
<td>Work with partners to re-consent those who were consented previously to inform them of their right to withdraw consent.</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients may not be aware of their right to erasure at the time of data collection.</td>
<td>Work with partners to re-consent those who were consented previously to inform them of their right to erasure.</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients may not be aware that LSTM-MLW has access to GUIDs.</td>
<td>Work with partners to re-consent those who were consented previously to inform them of LSTM-MLW’s access to GUIDs.</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients may not know how to contact Simprints.</td>
<td>Work with partners to re-consent those who were consented previously to inform them of Simprints’ contact information.</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients may have withheld consent if the original process has used an opt-in rather than an opt-out approach.</td>
<td>Work with partners to re-consent those who were consented previously to solicit an affirmative action.</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The study does not provide for an alternative form of enrolment/identification for patients who refuse to give their biometrics.</td>
<td>Work with partners to offer an alternative form of enrolment/identification.</td>
<td>Low – We believe this is low risk in this project context due to the fact that patients are not denied any health services as a result.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Under the layered approach, the privacy notice is made available to patients, but it is only provided upon request.</td>
<td>Display the privacy notice more prominently, e.g. on a poster or given as handouts.</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simprints’ has not yet appointed a full-time, independent DPO.</td>
<td>Recruit a full-time DPO (this is already in progress).</td>
<td>Medium – We have an acting DPO (the COO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Action</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Risk Level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>We do not have a data sharing agreement with LSTM-MLW in place for the sharing of GUIDs.</td>
<td>Have a data sharing agreement with MC Nigeria that includes the EU model contract clauses to cover the transfer of data outside of the EU (i.e. to the United States through CommCare, their data processor).</td>
<td>High - We are consulting with a law firm to implement a data sharing agreement.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>We are in compliance with GDPR requirements but wish to go further in <strong>promoting privacy</strong> in our project contexts and in the Tech4Dev space.</td>
<td>Establish an Integrity Council (consisting of experts in privacy, data security, law, ethics, and/or research) to advise Simprints on all matters of privacy and ethics.</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**AUTHORISATION**

The measures in this DPIA and the residual risks have been approved by:

[Signature]

________________________________________
31.08.2018
Sebastian Manhart
Chief Operating Officer & Acting DPO
Simprints Technology Ltd.
Annex A. Data Flow Diagrams

Data Flow Diagram – ENROLMENT

Patient
(Data Owner)

1. Personal data
   (e.g. name, date of
   birth, sex, village,
   mobile phone
   number)

Fingerprints

Fingerprint Scanner
Extracts images, converts into secure ISO
templates, & discards identifiable images

3. Fingerprint templates

CommCare
Creates enrolment form

2. Module ID* & User ID*

Simprints ID
Generates GUID

4. GUID

5. Fingerprint templates,
GUIDs, GPS coordinates

Simprints Cloud Database

KEY
- Processed by Simprints
- Processed by LSTM-MLW

*Mobile phone number
*Not personal data
Data Flow Diagram – IDENTIFICATION

Patient
(Data Owner)

Fingerprints

Fingerprint Scanner
Extracts images, converts into secure ISO templates, & discards identifiable images

CommCare
Pulls personal data using GUIDs

Simprints ID
Matches biometric template

Simprints Cloud Database

1. Fingerprint templates, GUIDs

2. Module ID* & User ID*

3. Fingerprint templates

4. GUIDs of best matches

5. Confirmation of identity to research assistant, who then selects from list of best matches

KEY
□ Processed by Simprints
□ Processed by LSTM-MLW
*Mobile phone number
*Not personal data

SMARTPHONE