



NFL Draft 2018 Scouting Report: WR Michael Gallup, Colorado State

**WR grades can and will change as more information comes in from Pro Day workouts, Wonderlic test results leaked, etc. We will update ratings as new info becomes available.*

**WR-B stands for "Big-WR," a classification we use to separate the more physical, downfield/over-the-top, heavy-red-zone-threat-type WRs. Our WR-S/"Small-WRs" are profiled by our computer more as slot and/or possession-type WRs who are typically less physical and rely more on speed/agility to operate underneath the defense and/or use big speed to get open deep...they are not used as weapons in the red zone as much.*

Michael Gallup is a hard WR prospect to scout...some people love him as one of the top sleeper WRs of this class. Others don't give him a second thought...not pro or con. No one dislikes Gallup, but there's an unease with getting positive...a mid-major conference star without blow-you-away measurables.

I come down on the side of there's more good than worry here...a bigger ceiling, but not a for sure 'given' or for sure 'starter' in the NFL. But, 'starter' and even 'star' are on the table.

Gallup is not 'ready to go' right away; most WR prospects are not. However, after Gallup settles in after a season or two and puts a little more work in on his upper body strength... 'starter' and potentially 'star' are on the table.

Gallup is a collection of 'B' attributes that may wind up meaning he is a B+ grade WR for the NFL. He's not the fastest WR, but he's fast enough (4.51). He's not the most agile, but he's solid (6.95 three-cone). He's pretty thickly built. Shorter arms and smaller hands than you'd like but acceptable. Displays good hands...not 'wow' hands but not questionable hands. The more tape I watched, the less notes I had. I just kept waiting for something radical to write down from the tape study but I had very little. He's good. He's capable. He's a really nice, good WR.

I had only three notes worth mentioning...

1: His secret advantage/skill might be his jump off the snap. He moves very quickly from a standstill and just moves with confidence and purpose. He looks physically imposing more than he does like a smaller, speed, thin-framed WR option.

2: Against better competition, Gallup tended to fade off versus step up. He didn't flop or bust, but he didn't hammer any major conference foes in his two seasons. The annual battles with Colorado were nothing to write home about for Gallup.



3: Gallup is a bit immature...in my estimation. Not a frightening level, but something you wish was 'better' or you wish he was a leader of men, etc. Gallup is a raw piece of clay...a nice piece of clay for the NFL to mold. I just hope Gallup steps up and takes advantage of it and doesn't get outworked and lose ground to lesser talented, but more focused WRs. Not saying this for sure...just a read I'm getting.

I like **Michael Gallup** more than I don't. I could see some **Davante Adams** here...but we don't know how Adams would have turned out without the luxury of working with **Aaron Rodgers**. Gallup is *that kinda good*, potentially – as good as the offense he is in allows.

Michael Gallup, Through the Lens of Our WR Scouting Algorithm:

19 TDs in his last 21 games is pretty salty. 100+ yards receiving in a game in 11 of his last 18 games is pretty nice too. Seven or more catches in a game in 12 of his last 19 games. The numbers are there. What I like about Gallup's gaudy numbers compared to a similarly noted WR prospect this year, **Anthony Miller**: I see Gallup making more of his catches in a 'pro style' – actual patterns over the middle, timing patterns to the sidelines, etc. Gallup just 'looks' like a real NFL WR...whereas Miller looks like just a great college WR – and Miller had a better QB to work with as well. Gallup put up numbers with a lesser QB.

Five games with major conference teams the past two years (Colorado 2x, Minnesota, Alabama, Oregon State) – 6.2 receptions, 70.6 yards, 0.2 TDs per game...way off his numbers otherwise. He destroyed equal or lesser opponents. He was OK stepping up in class but not really dominant.

In the end, with all this study...I think Gallup is good, but he'll be as good as his surroundings. He's not taking anything to the next level on his own and he doesn't wilt against a challenge. It's more...can the QB get the ball to him (a.k.a. the **Davante Adams** story early in his career).

2018 NFL Combine measurables...

6'0.6"/205, 9.25" hands, 31.5" arms

4.51 40-time, 1.60 10-yard, 4.37 shuttle, 6.95 three-cone

10 reps bench, 36.0" vertical, 10'2" broad jump



The Historical WR Prospects to Whom Michael Gallup Most Compares Within Our System:

I'd like to take these first four names and make a hybrid called **Michael Gallup**. I see some **Rishard Matthews**, but he's just not as good as Rishard was in college nor can he touch his measurables across the board. **Jermaine Kearse** is solid...I think Gallup is a bit better on 'upside'. I think these names all kinda reflect the Gallup vibe...all guys that just needed a chance (except Hopkins).

<u>WR Score</u>	<u>Draft Yr</u>	<u>Last</u>	<u>First</u>	<u>College</u>	<u>H</u>	<u>H</u>	<u>W</u>	<u>Power Strngt h Metric</u>	<u>Speed Agility Metri c</u>	<u>Hands Metric</u>
7.034	2018	Gallup	Michael	Colorado St	6	0.6	205	5.37	6.21	7.98
6.538	2012	Kearse	Jermaine	Washington	6	1.1	209	9.73	7.10	8.37
7.568	2016	Carroo	Leonte	Rutgers	5	11.7	211	8.89	6.16	9.58
8.944	2012	Matthews	Rishard	Nevada	6	0.1	217	14.16	7.63	10.64
5.580	2013	Patton	Quinton	La Tech	6	0.0	204	7.08	5.94	9.06
6.274	2017	Ross	Fred	Ole Miss	6	0.6	213	9.77	5.75	8.71
8.456	2013	Hopkins	DeAndre	Clemson	6	1.0	214	9.26	6.97	10.86
4.524	2017	Etta-Tawo	Amba	Syracuse	6	1.2	208	8.82	7.04	7.36

**A score of 7.0+ is where we start to take a Small-WR prospect more seriously. A score of 8.50+ is where we see a stronger correlation of a Small-WR going on to become NFL good/great/elite. A score of 10.00+ is more rarefied air in our system and indicates a greater probability of becoming an elite NFL Small-WR.*

All of the WR ratings are based on a 0–10 scale, but a player can score negative, or above a 10.0 in certain instances.

Overall WR score = A combination of several on-field performance measures, including refinement for strength of opponents faced. Mixed with all the physical measurement metrics, rated historically in our database.

“Power-Strength” = A combination of unique metrics surrounding physical-size profiling, bench press strength, etc. High scorers here project to be more physical, better blockers, and less injury-prone.

“Speed-Agility” = A combination of unique metrics surrounding speed, agility, physical size, mixed with some on-field performance metrics. High scorers here project to have a better YAC and show characteristics to be used as deep threats/create separation.

